

# Mathematics of Insurance and Risk

## Tutorial classes

Exercise sheet for Market Risk Measures

Noufel FRIKHA \*

### Exercise 1: Minimum variance portfolio with a twist (Exam 2019)

Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider  $d$ -risky assets  $(S^1, \dots, S^d)$  such that their risky excess returns are assumed to follow a multivariate Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(m, \Sigma)$ , with mean vector  $m \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{S}_+^d$ . An investor seeks to solve the following optimization problem to find the optimal vector of weights  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_d)^\top$  invested in the stocks  $(S^1, \dots, S^d)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad & \frac{1}{2} w^\top \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} \quad & e^\top w = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here  $e$  is the vector of ones in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e. all of its components are equal to one and  $\top$  denotes the transpose operation.

*Classical Minimum Variance Portfolio:* For questions 1 to 5, we assume that  $\Sigma$  is invertible.

1. Justify the appellation ‘minimum variance portfolio’?
2. Justify that problem (1) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\max_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} w^\top \Sigma w - \beta(e^\top w - 1). \tag{2}$$

3. Solve the optimization problem and show that the minimum variance portfolio  $w_{\text{MV}}$  is given by

$$w_{\text{MV}} = \frac{\Sigma^{-1}e}{e^\top \Sigma^{-1}e}.$$

4. What is the Sharpe ratio of  $w_{\text{MV}}$ ?
5. Is the invertibility assumption of  $\Sigma$  satisfied in practice? Justify.

*Minimum Variance Portfolio with an  $l^2$ -twist:* From now on we no longer assume that  $\Sigma$  is invertible and we consider the previous optimization problem but under an additional  $l^2$ -constraint on the weights:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad & \frac{1}{2} w^\top \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} \quad & e^\top w = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w^\top w \leq c, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

---

\*noufel.frikha@univ-paris1.fr

where  $c > 0$  is a given constant.

6. Prove that the problem does not admit a solution if  $c < 1/d$ .
7. Justify that problem (3) is equivalent to the following maximization problem:

$$\max_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+} \max_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} w^\top \Sigma w - \beta(e^\top w - 1) + \gamma(w^\top w - c) \quad (4)$$

8. Keeping  $\gamma > 0$  fixed, show that the solution to the inner minimization problem is given by

$$\tilde{w}_{\text{MV}}(\gamma) = \frac{\Sigma(\gamma)^{-1}e}{e^\top \Sigma(\gamma)^{-1}e}$$

where  $\Sigma(\gamma)$  is a  $d \times d$ -matrix to be determined in terms of  $\Sigma$  and  $\gamma$ . Justify that  $\Sigma(\gamma)$  is invertible.

9. What is the advantage of introducing the  $l^2$ -constraint?

### Exercise 2: Pareto distributions and VaR

Let  $X, Y$  be two independent random variables following a Pareto distribution  $(1, 1)$ , meaning that the density is given by

$$f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x \geq 0} \frac{1}{(1+x)^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1. Verify that  $f$  is indeed a density function and that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) = \frac{1}{1+t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

2. Compute  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .
3. Compute  $\mathbb{P}(X + Y \geq t)$ , for  $t \geq 0$ .
4. Compare  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X + Y)$  and  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X) + \text{VaR}_\alpha(Y)$ , for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .
5. Comment.

### Exercise 3: On spherical distributions (Exam 2019)

Fix  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  a probability space,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will denote by  $\top$  the transpose operation and by  $\|t\| = \sqrt{t^\top t} = \sqrt{t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \dots + t_d^2}$  the euclidean norm of a vector  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . For a  $d$ -dimensional vector-valued random variable  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^\top$  we denote by  $\phi_X$  its characteristic function, that is

$$\phi_X(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp(it^\top X) \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We say that the  $d$ -dimensional vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^\top$  has a spherical distribution if there exists a function  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that its characteristic function satisfies

$$\phi_X(t) = \psi(t^\top t) = \psi(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \dots + t_d^2).$$

We will write  $X \sim \mathcal{S}_d(\psi)$  to denote that  $X$  has a spherical distribution with characteristic function  $\psi(t^\top t)$ . Throughout this exercise we fix  $X \sim \mathcal{S}_d(\psi)$  for some function  $\psi$  and we define the  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random variable

$$Y = \mu + CX, \tag{5}$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ .

1. Let  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ , where  $I_d$  is the identity matrix. Show that  $Z \sim \mathcal{S}_d(\psi_0)$  for a function  $\psi_0$  to be determined.
2. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Show that

$$a^\top X \stackrel{d}{=} \|a\|X_1,$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  stands for the equality in distribution and we recall that  $X_1$  is the first component of the vector  $X$ .

3. Deduce that

$$a^\top Y \stackrel{d}{=} a^\top \mu + \|C^\top a\|X_1,$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Part 1. Value-at-Risk.* Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and set  $d = 2$ .

4. Justify that  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(U) = \text{VaR}_\alpha(V)$ , for any two random variables  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U \stackrel{d}{=} V$ .
5. Deduce that

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(a^\top Y) = a^\top \mu + \|C^\top a\| \text{VaR}_\alpha(X_1),$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

6. Using the above, show that  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(Y_1 + Y_2) \leq \text{VaR}_\alpha(Y_1) + \text{VaR}_\alpha(Y_2)$ .
7. What is the financial interpretation of the previous inequality? Does it hold for more general distributions  $Y$ ?

*Part 2. Optimization problem.* More generally, let  $d \geq 2$  and consider  $d$ -risky assets  $(S^1, \dots, S^d)$  such that their risky excess returns are assumed to follow the distribution  $Y$  as in (5). We seek to find the optimal vector of weights  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_d)^\top$  invested in the stocks  $(S^1, \dots, S^d)$  minimizing the Value-at-Risk of the portfolio:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \text{VaR}_\alpha(w^\top Y) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & e^\top w = 1 \quad \mu^\top w = r \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for a fixed level of returns  $r > 0$ , and  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^\top$  the vector of ones in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

8. Show that the minimization problem (6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad & \frac{1}{2} w^\top \Sigma w \\ \text{subject to} \quad & e^\top w = 1. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a  $d \times d$ -matrix to be determined.

9. What problem do you recognize? Find the optimal vector of weights  $w^*$ .
10. Can we replace  $\text{VaR}_\alpha$  in (6) by more general risk measures  $\rho$ ? What properties should  $\rho$  satisfy to obtain the same conclusions? Does it work for the expected shortfall?

### Exercise 4: Value-at-Risk (Exam 2019)

Let  $X$  denote a random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  which corresponds to the losses of a portfolio. Recall that the Value-at-Risk  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$  of the portfolio  $X$  for the threshold  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(X) = F_X^-(\alpha),$$

where  $F_X^-$  is given by

$$F_X^-(y) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_X(x) \geq y\}, \quad y \in (0, 1),$$

and  $F_X$  is the cumulative distribution function of  $X$ .

1. Show that  $F^-$  is non-decreasing and deduce that for all  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ ,  $\text{VaR}_{\alpha_1}(X) \leq \text{VaR}_{\alpha_2}(X)$ .
2. Show how this result can be deduced from a graph on the Value-at-Risk, under a suitable assumption to be specified.

From now on, we assume that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Fix a threshold  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote  $n$  independent observations of  $X$ , i.e.  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $L_n(\mu, \sigma^2)$  the likelihood function of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and we set  $l_n(\mu, \sigma^2) := \log L_n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

3. Show that

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(X) = \mu + \sigma z_\alpha,$$

where  $z_\alpha = F_{\mathcal{N}(0,1)}^-(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$ -quantile of a standard Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

4. Show that

$$l_n(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2.$$

5. Derive the maximum likelihood estimators  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$  for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and deduce an estimator for  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(X)$ .
6. What are the advantages and disadvantages of this method? Explain alternative methods correcting these issues.

### Exercise 5: Wang risk measures (Exam 2019)

Let  $X$  be a non-negative random variable defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the following set of functions

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ non-decreasing and right-continuous such that } g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(1) = 1\}.$$

For any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , we define  $\rho_g$  by

$$\rho_g(X) = \int_0^\infty g(1 - F_X(x)) dx,$$

where  $F_X$  is the cumulative distribution function of  $X$ .

1. Justify that  $\rho_g$  can be considered as a risk measure.
2. Verify that  $\text{id} : y \mapsto y$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  and show that  $\rho_{\text{id}}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X]$ .

3. Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Verify that  $g_\alpha : y \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\{y \geq 1-\alpha\}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  and compute  $\rho_{g_\alpha}(X)$ .
4. We recall that for any non-decreasing and right-continuous function we can define its Stieltjes measure  $dg$  given by  $dg((s, t]) = g(t) - g(s)$  so that we have  $g(t) = g(s) + \int_s^t dg(u)$ , for all  $s \leq t$ . Show that, for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\rho_g(X) = \int_0^1 \text{VaR}_{1-\alpha}(X) dg(\alpha).$$

5. Fix  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Show that  $\rho_g$  is invariant by translation, positive homogeneous and monotone.
6. Is  $\rho_g$  sub-additive for all  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ? Justify.
7. Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  twice differentiable with continuous first and second derivatives. Assume that  $F_X$  is continuous.

- (a) Recall the definition of the expected shortfall  $\text{ES}_\alpha(X)$  and show that

$$\text{ES}_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_\alpha^1 \text{VaR}_p(X) dp, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

- (b) Show that

$$\rho_g(X) = - \int_0^1 \text{ES}_{1-\xi}(X) \xi g''(\xi) d\xi + g'(1) \mathbb{E}[X].$$

- (c) Deduce that  $\rho_g$  is sub-additive if  $g$  is concave.
- (d) What can be said on  $\rho_g$  when  $g$  is concave?