# Probabilistic Methods in Finance QEM, MMEF, MAEF, IMMAEF 2022-2023 Mid-term, 21 March 2023

Please mention your degree (MAEF,...) on your sheet, and do not copy the questions. English or French can be used.

- I. We consider the usual binomial model with 2 basis assets on 1 period:
  - the risk-free asset is worth 1 at time 0 and 1 + r at time 1,

- the risky asset is a stock paying no dividend; it is worth S at time 0 and  $S^d$  or  $S^u$  at time 1, with  $S^d < S^u$ .

We make the usual assumptions on the market, including the no arbitrage opportunity assumption.

- 1. What is the consequence of the no arbitrage opportunity assumption on the parameters of the model (full proof required, considering any case).
- 2. We consider a general option with maturity T = 1 on the stock (not necessarily a call or a put). It is worth  $F^u$  when the stock is worth  $S^u$  and  $F^d$  when the stock is worth  $S^d$ .

**a.** What quantity  $\Delta$  of stock does the option seller have to add to its position to get a risk-free portfolio? (prove the result without replicating the option) What is the rate of return of such a portfolio?

- **b.** Let  $K \in ]S^d, S^u[$ . Compute  $\Delta$  and give its sign:
  - if the option is a call with strike price K,
  - if the option is a put with strike price K.

**c.** Build a portfolio with positions in the call, the put and the stock that is risk-free between 0 and 1 and deduce a link between the deltas computed in **2.b**.

#### II. General model

A *N*-periods model with (d + 1) financial assets is built on a finite probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ equipped with a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{0 \le n \le N}$ , making the vector of prices at time  $n, \mathcal{S}_n = (S_n^0, S_n^1, ..., S_n^d)$ , being  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable. Asset 0 is risk-free, the other assets are risky.

- **1.** Recall in this context:
  - a. how a self-financing strategy is defined (financially and mathematically),
  - **b.** what an Equivalent Martingale Measure (EMM) is,
  - c. what the existence of an EMM implies,
  - d. what the existence and unicity of an EMM implies.
- 2. We assume that there exists a unique Equivalent Martingale Measure  $P^*$ . We consider a European option on the risky assets, given by its payoff  $F_N \ge 0$  at its maturity  $T = N\Delta t$ .
  - **a.** Prove that the discounted option price process is a martingale under  $P^*$  (full proof expected).
  - **b.** Deduce the price of the option at time 0.

#### Correction

## I.

1. NAO assumption implies  $S^d < S(1+r) < S^u$ 

Proof: if  $S(1+r) \leq S^d$ , at time t = 0, borrow S \$ at rate r, buy the stock. At time t = 1, you get  $S^u - S(1+r)$  \$ or  $S^d - S(1+r)$  \$. Both are non-negative values, and the first one is positive. This is an AO.

If  $S(1+r) \ge S^u$ , sell short 1 stock for S \$, invest this amount at rate r. At time t = 1, you get S(1+r) and you reimburse 1 stock. In dollars, you have  $S(1+r) - S^u \ge 0$  or  $S(1+r) - S^d > 0$ . This is an AO.

**2.a** The possible values at time 1 of the portfolio  $\begin{cases} -1 \text{ option} \\ \Delta \text{ U.A.} \end{cases}$  are  $-F^u + \Delta S^u$  and  $-F^d + \Delta S^d$ . With  $\Delta = \frac{F^u - F^d}{S^u - S^d}$ , both are equal and the portfolio is then risk-free. The rate of return of such a portfolio is r.

**b.**  $\Delta_{call} = \frac{S^u - K}{S^u - S^d} > 0$  and  $\Delta_{put} = -\frac{K - S^d}{S^u - S^d} < 0$ .

**c.** -1 call +1 put +1 U.A. equivalent to K in cash. Then  $-C_t + P_t + S_t = \frac{K}{1+r}$ . 1 call  $-\Delta_{call}$  UA is risk-free.

1 call + some risk-free position is equivalent to 1 put + 1 UA,

then 1 put + 1 UA  $-\Delta_{call}$  UA is risk-free, from which we get:  $\Delta_{put} = \Delta_{call} - 1$ .

### II.

**1.a** self-financing strategy: stochastic process  $\Theta = ((\theta_n^0, \theta_n^1, ... \theta_n^d))_{0 \le n \le N}$  where  $\theta_n^i \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the quantities of assets *i* in the portfolio at time *n*,

· predictable, i.e.,  $\Theta_0$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable, and, for  $1 \le n \le N$ ,  $\Theta_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable and ·  $\forall 0 \le n \le N-1, \ \Theta_n \cdot \mathcal{S}_n = \Theta_{n+1} \cdot \mathcal{S}_n$ 

i.e. the investor readjusts his position from  $\Theta_n$  to  $\Theta_{n+1}$  without bringing or consuming any wealth external to the portfolio.

**b.** An Equivalent Martingale Measure is a probability  $P^*$  equivalent to P such that the discounted prices of the basis assets are martingales under  $P^*$ .

**c.** existence of an EMM  $\Rightarrow$  the market is without arbitrage ("viable market").

**d.** existence and unicity of an EMM  $\Rightarrow$  no arbitrage and complete market (any payoff  $\mathcal{F}_N$ -measurable is replicable).

**2.a** Unique  $P^* \Rightarrow$  option replicable by a self-financing portfolio strategy  $\Theta$ . The value of the portfolio at time *n* is  $V_n^{\Theta} = \Theta_n \cdot S_n$ . The self-financing property implies:  $\tilde{V}_n^{\Theta} = \tilde{V}_0^{\Theta} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta_{k+1} \cdot (\tilde{S}_{k+1} - \tilde{S}_k)$ .

 $\forall 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad \tilde{V}_n^{\Theta} \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_n \text{-measurable: for } k \leq n-1, \Theta_{k+1}, \tilde{S}_k \text{ and } \tilde{S}_{k+1} \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_k \text{ or } \mathcal{F}_{k+1} \text{-measurable}$ then  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable.  $\quad \tilde{V}_n^{\Theta} \in L^1 \text{ (as } \Omega \text{ is finite}).$ 

$$\cdot \mathbb{E}^* (\tilde{V}_{n+1}^{\Theta} - \tilde{V}_n^{\Theta} | \mathcal{F}_n) = \mathbb{E}^* (\Theta_{n+1} \cdot (\tilde{S}_{n+1} - \tilde{S}_n) | \mathcal{F}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^a \theta_{n+1}^i \mathbb{E}^* (\tilde{S}_{n+1}^i - \tilde{S}_n^i | \mathcal{F}_n) \text{ as } \theta_{n+1}^i \text{ is }$$

 $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for each *i* (predictable process). Then  $\mathbb{E}^*(V_{n+1}^{\Theta} - V_n^{\Theta} | \mathcal{F}_n) = 0.$ 

**b.** Price given by  $V_0^{\Theta} = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}^*(F_N)$ .