

Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne  
MAEF, MMEF, QEM, IMAEF, 2022-2023

## Final Exam: Portfolio Theory

10th May 2023

- Documents, calculators and cell phone are prohibited.
- The duration of the exam is **2h00**.
- It is forbidden to leave without returning the copy of your exam.
- The exam is composed of **3 exercices** which can be treated independently. In an exercise, you can use the results from the previous questions. We freely use the notations introduced in the course.

**Exercise 1** (Questions on the lectures)

1. For a given loss  $L$  and confidence level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , recall the definitions of the Value-at-Risk at level  $\alpha$  of  $L$  denoted by  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(L)$  and the Expected Shortfall at level  $\alpha$  of  $L$  denoted by  $\text{ES}_\alpha(L)$ .
2. Prove that for any  $c \geq 0$  and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , one has

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(cL + d) = c\text{VaR}_\alpha(L) + d.$$

3. We now assume that  $L \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for some parameters  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma \geq 0$ . Prove that for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\text{VaR}_\alpha(L) = \mu + \sigma\Phi^{-1}(\alpha).$$

where  $\Phi$  is cumulative distribution function of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

4. What is the main drawback of assessing the risk of  $L$  using  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(L)$ ?
5. Does the  $\text{ES}_\alpha(L)$  allow to circumvent the previous drawback?
6. Provide the definition of a copula of dimension  $N$ .

**Exercise 2**

In this exercise, we assume that investors have mean-variance preferences. We follow the notations introduced in the course. We consider  $N$  risky assets. We denote by  $R = (R_1, \dots, R_N)$  where  $R_i$  stands for the return of the asset  $i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . We assume that the expected returns  $(\mathbb{E}[R_i])_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  are not all identical. The covariance matrix of  $R$  is denoted by  $\Sigma$  and is assumed to be invertible. The rate of return of the risk free asset is denoted by  $r$ . We also recall the following notations introduced in the course:  $a = \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{E}[R]$ ,  $b = \mathbb{E}[R]^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{E}[R]$ ,  $c = \mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}$ ,  $d = bc - a^2$ ,  $f^2 = b - 2ar + cr^2$ .

We consider the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = X^T \mathbb{E}[R] + (1 - \mathbf{1}^T X)r + \lambda(X^T \Sigma X - \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is a given parameter and  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ .

1. Write explicitly the optimization problem to which the above Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}$  is associated.
2. Write explicitly the two first order conditions associated to the Lagrangian.
3. Express the optimal weights  $X$  satisfying the two first order conditions as a function of the parameter  $\lambda$ .
4. Prove that one has

$$2\lambda = \pm \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sigma},$$

where  $L$  is a quantity you will determine. Justify that  $L$  is positive.

5. Deduce the optimal weights  $X$  as a function of  $\sigma$  satisfying the optimality conditions of question 2).
6. Compute the expected return of the optimal portfolio composed of risky assets only. You will distinguish the two cases  $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sigma}$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sigma}$ .
7. Determine the fraction of wealth  $X_0$  invested in the risk free asset. You will again distinguish the two cases  $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sigma}$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{L}}{\sigma}$ .

8. Deduce the return  $\mu$  of the optimal portfolio composed of  $N$  risky assets and the risk free asset. Again distinguish the two cases as previously done.
9. When  $X$  is an efficient portfolio, give the expression of  $\sigma$  as a function of  $\mu - r$ .
10. Deduce from the previous question the expression of the weights  $X(\mu)$  as a function of  $\mu$ .

### Exercise 3

For  $x$ , we let  $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$ . We define the map  $\rho : L^1(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho(X) = \mathbb{E}[X] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])_+].$$

1. Prove that if  $X \leq 0$  a.s. then  $(X - \mathbb{E}[X])_+ \leq -\mathbb{E}[X]$  a.s.
2. Deduce that if  $X \leq 0$  a.s. then  $\rho(X) \leq 0$ .
3. By writing  $Y = X + Y - X$  deduce that that  $\rho(X) \leq \rho(Y)$  if  $X \leq Y$  a.s.
4. Prove that for any  $c \geq 0$  and any  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds

$$\rho(cX + d) = c\rho(X) + d.$$

What is the name of the above properties in the language of risk measures? What is the financial interpretation?

5. Prove that  $\rho$  is sub-additive.
6. Is  $\rho$  a coherent risk measure?