## Course 11: Poverty and Inequality

## Definitions of poverty

absolute poverty	pauvreté absolue
poverty line	ligne de pauvreté
a minmum "basket"	un panier de biens
of goods and services	et de services
relative poverty	pauvreté relative
poverty threshold	seuil de pauvreté
a percentage of the median wage (60%)	pourcentage du salaire médian
social exclusion	exclusion sociale
inequality	inégalité
income inequality	inégalité de revenu
wealth inequality	inégalité de richesse
minimum wage	salaire minimum
income distribution	la distribution de
	revenus

Lorenz curve	la courbe de Lorenz
Gini coefficient	coefficient de Gini

## Working and poor in the United States

are both working and poor.

In 1979, with an unemployment rate over 7 percent \_\_\_\_\_\_, the poverty \_\_\_\_\_ among all workers was 5.7 percent. In the following two \_\_\_\_\_\_, the rate of unemployment fluctuated considerably, rising in the early 1980s, then falling, before rising again during the early 1990s. Thereafter, the rate of unemployment fell until the \_\_\_\_\_ in US growth during the early 2000s. In the mid-2000s boom, unemployment fell again. But with the current crisis it has risen to about 10% of the working population.

During the same period, the number of people in poverty followed the \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_, oscillating between 30 and 40 million. In 2008, there were 39.8 million people living at or below the official poverty \_\_\_\_\_, equivalent to 13.2% of the population. This compares to 39.5 million people who were living in poverty in 1959, or 22.4% of the population at that time. These figures suggest that a large number of people

working poor	les pauvres qui travaillent
lone-parent	parent isolé / familles monoparentales
lone-parent families	
old-age poverty	pauvreté des personnes âgées
poverty trap	piège de la pauvreté
US: welfare	assistance sociale
workfare	allocation conditionnelle
tax credit	crédit d'impôt
in-kind assistance	aide reçue en nature

## Absolute poverty in the developing world

One measure of poverty refers to people living on less that \$1.25 (PPP 2005).

Developing constant extreme living			
According to the World Bank, poverty has 1980s. The number of people in the developing 51.9%) in 1981 to 1,374 million (25.2 percent in 2005).	on less than \$1.25 a day fell from 1,900 million (or		
planning regional transition global Saharan			
In contrast, the number of people living on less than \$2 (PPP 2005) per day rose from 2,542 million in 1981, to 2.,875 million in 1999, before falling to 2,564 million people in 2005 (equivalent to 47.0% of the population living in the developing world).			
These figures, however, hide quite strong differences in developments. Thus, the absolute number of people living on less than \$2 per day has fallen strongly in East Asia (including China). But it rose strongly in Europe and Central Asia, during the 1990s, after the from Soviet central, before falling in the early 2000s. The absolute numbers of people living on \$2 have also remained quite high, but falling in the Middle East & North Africa, very high in South Asia (including India), and also in sub Africa.			
disease	maladie		
malnutrition	malnutrition		
famine	famine		
infant mortality	mortalité infantile		
In its report "The Challenge of Slums" published late 2003, UN-Habitat estimates that one people, about a third of the world's urban population, live in and in absolute destitution, without water or sanitation, public infrastructure or security of There are at least 550 million slum in Asia, 187 million in Africa, 128 million in Latin America and the Caribbean and a further 54 million in the world's richest 30 countries.  **inhabitants rural misery urban**  Such extreme poverty was once mainly found in areas, but rapid growth in developing countries is leading to exploding urban poverty. During the 1990s alone, the world's city increased by 36 percent, and UN-Habitat has predicted that in 30 years as many as two billion people will be living in such			
slum(s)	taudis, bas-quartiers		
shanty town	bidonville		
urban growth	croissance urbaine		
tenure	ici : être propriétaire		
misery	misère		
inhabitant(s)	habitant(s)		
globalisation	mondialisation		
trickle down	effet de diffusion		
the poor	les pauvres		
shortage	pénurie, manque		
foodstuffs	aliments		
share of income	une part du revenu		
affordable	abordable		
survive	survivre		

survivant

surviving

process inertia wealth poor
The UN agency attributes considerable responsibility for these conditions to the of national governments
and the neo-liberal globalisation which has been encouraged over the last three decades by international
institutions like the IMF and the WTO. The created by deregulated markets remains highly
concentrated and has not "trickled down" to many of the world's
proletariat living free shortage provision foodstuffs logistical
Suchmarket policies, demographic growth and rural-urban migration are leading to the rapid growth of a
global which is completely failing to benefit from globalisation. At the end of 1998, the UN Food and
Agriculture reported that up to 1 billion people experienced severe malnutrition and food, with workers
using up to 80% of their income to buy food. In fact, urban food prices in Africa and Asia are rising faster than
the cost of and wages, and even the food available is often contaminated or rotten, especially as 30% of
all are inedible as a result of infrastructural problems. A major conglomeration of over 10 million
people, for example, needs approximately 6000 tonnes of food per day, which clearly represents significant
problems that market forces alone cannot handle. Proper distribution requires good public
infrastructures, which rely significantly on extensive and effective public
affordable inequalities congestion consumes periphery surviving
But the opposite is happening and the expansion of cities has been accompanied by deteriorating infrastructure.
Poor public transport pushes people to use cars and taxis, leading to immense problems and pollution.
For people living on the of major cities, commuting accounts for a large share of their day and
their income.
The 1990s witnessed unprecedented social emerge, and while decent, housing is a basic
requirement of human well-being, millions, if not billions of people across the globe live in completely
inhuman conditions. Even in Europe, historically the continent that founded the welfare state, 6.2 percent of
the population live in slums, on exceedingly little.