

Manuele Kirsch Pinheiro  
Carine Souveyet  
Philippe Roose  
Luiz Angelo Steffenel *Editors*

# The Evolution of Pervasive Information Systems



Springer

# Editors

Manuele Kirsch Pinheiro  
Center for Research in Informatics  
Pantheon-Sorbonne University  
Paris, France

Carine Souveyet  
Centre de Recherche en Informatique  
Pantheon-Sorbonne University  
Paris, France

Philippe Roose  
Université de Pau  
Anglet, France

Luiz Angelo Steffene  
University of Reims Champagne-Ardenne  
Reims, France

ISBN 978-3-031-18175-7      ISBN 978-3-031-18176-4 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18176-4>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

## 1 Introduction

3

Industry 4.0—a term coined by H. Kagermann et al.—was introduced as the Fourth Industrial Revolution in the context of the development of the German economy (Kagermann et al. 2011). Following the First Industrial Revolution with water and steam engine as a source of power, the Second Industrial Revolution with mass production and globalization, the Third Industrial Revolution with automation and digitization, the Fourth Industrial Revolution concept was popularized by K. Schwab from The World Economic Forum (Schwab 2016, 2017). Industry 4.0 stands out as the evolution from digital manufacturing to smart factories (cf Fig. 1).

Despite significant efforts from the research community, no unanimous definition of Industry 4.0 is currently adopted (Boyes et al. 2018; Kiangala and Wang 2019; Lasi et al. 2014; Piccarozzi et al. 2018; Qin et al. 2016). Its characterization (Buer et al. 2018) or roadmap (Beier et al. 2020; Paravizo et al. 2018) are not clearly defined. Its complexity makes it difficult to focus on scope, objectives, and holistic development (Buer et al. 2018; Derigent et al. 2021; Meissner et al. 2017).

Rupp et al. have compiled keywords, concepts, and citations in an exhaustive bibliometric analysis within the scope of research literature and have formed a concise definition of the Industry 4.0 paradigm:

---

F. Le Mouël (✉)


Univ Lyon, INSA Lyon, Inria, CITI, Villeurbanne, France

e-mail: [frederic.le-mouel@insa-lyon.fr](mailto:frederic.le-mouel@insa-lyon.fr)

O. Carrillo

Univ Lyon, CPE, INSA Lyon, Inria, CITI, Villeurbanne, France

e-mail: [oscar.carrillo@cpe.fr](mailto:oscar.carrillo@cpe.fr)



**Fig. 1** Industry 4.0—The Fourth Revolution

“Industry 4.0 is the implementation of Cyber-Physical Systems for creating Smart Factories by using the Internet of Things, Big Data, Cloud Computing, Artificial Intelligence and Communication Technologies for Information and Communication in Real-Time over the Value Chain.” Rupp et al. (2021)

From this clearly technology-oriented definition, Industry 4.0 emerges as the convergence of four major technological trends (cf Fig. 2):

**Physical World Digitalization** The first requirement to optimize any kind of value chain is to have information about ongoing processes. The *Internet of Things (IoT)* refers to physical objects or groups of objects with sensing and communication abilities that connect and exchange data over public or private networks. Machines or humans are embedded with electronic sensors or actuators to generate data. *Cyber Physical Systems (CPS)* are systems including mechanisms where physical objects, humans, and software are closely intertwined to create new levels of sociotechnical interactions. CPS involves transdisciplinary approaches—merging the theory of cybernetics, mechatronics, design and process science. The principal aim is to produce constant interactions between the real physical world and a virtual world representation to indicate the best decisions (Boyes et al. 2018).

**On-Demand Computing** On-demand computing is an enterprise-level delivery model in which computing resources are made available to the user when needed. *Cloud Computing* is a key technology of on-demand computing. Cloud Computing is the on-demand availability of different remote services over the Internet, especially data storage, networking, and computing power. *Edge and Fog Computing* are also key technologies complementary to Cloud Computing. Edge and Fog Computing are distributed computing paradigms bringing computation and data storage closer to the data sources. Edge Computing architectures perform computation and storage on the embedded devices or the gateway at the network’s edge, in close proximity to the physical location of sensors creating the data. Fog Computing




Fig. 2 Industry 4.0—the four major enabling technologies

architectures act as mediators between the edge, the core network, and the cloud 44  
for various purposes, such as data processing or data geo-distribution (Hong 45  
and Varghese 2019). Finally, *Big Data* refers to structured, semi-structured, and 46  
unstructured datasets that are too large or complex to be dealt with by traditional 47  
data-processing application software. Big Data requires the previous computing 48  
architectures to be stored and analyzed to reveal patterns, trends, and associations, 49  
especially those relating to human behavior and interactions (Chen et al. 2014; Khan 50  
et al. 2017). 51

**Cognitive Computing** Cognitive Computing refers to technology platforms that 52  
mimic the way the human brain works by incorporating advanced aspects of 53  
artificial intelligence and signal processing. *Signal Processing* focuses on analyzing, 54

modifying, and synthesizing signals such as scientific measurements, sound, natural language, and images or videos. After any data capture, filtering noise from data and structuring the signal impacts any future knowledge representation. *Artificial intelligence (AI)* refers to intelligence demonstrated by machines, as opposed to the natural intelligence displayed by animals and humans. Traditional goals of AI include knowledge representation, reasoning, perception, planning, learning, processing, and decision-making. *Machine Learning* is a subset of AI that has recently regained popularity with advances in artificial neural networks, deep learning, and reinforcement learning (Lee et al. 2018; Verbraeken et al. 2020).

**Advanced Human-Machine Interaction** Advanced human-machine interaction is the field studying the design and the use of computer technology interfacing between people and computers. Following the effort to provide multi-modal interfaces (audio, visual, feedback-based), *Augmented Reality (AR)* and *Virtual Reality (VR)* are key technologies where the reality of the physical world is supported and mixed with the use of digital 3D models. AR/VR are mainly used to build and assemble complex components and architectures, and to read and document technical systems in real-time (Xiong et al. 2021). *3D-printing* is, at the same time, a physical world augmentation and an advanced human-machine interacting system. 3D-printing plays an essential role in Industry 4.0 by enabling the direct production of high-complexity digital 3D models, making it suitable for individual production of experimental small-sized batches to large production with high added-value (Rong et al. 2018).

This chapter focuses on Industry 4.0-enabling technologies to digitalize the physical world and how to apply them to industrial and manufacturing contexts - hereby referred to as *The Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT)*. Interactions of the IIoT sensing part are also detailed in regards of adaptation capabilities of the on-demand and cognitive computing, and advanced human-machine interaction parts.

The chapter is structured as follows: Sect. 2 details the current state-of-the-art. Section 3 presents existing protocols, architectures and standards. Section 4 identifies gaps and challenges that need to be addressed in future research directions, before to conclude in Sect. 5.

## 2 State of the Art

In IIoT environments, a machine/device can communicate and share information seamlessly inside or outside the industrial ecosystem. The interoperability is a key point to guaranteeing these exchanges in the industrial ecosystem. IIoT interoperability is most of the time referred to as Machine-to-Machine/Device-to-Device communication interoperability (M2M/D2D), where multiple devices can exchange knowledge and their understanding of the context (e.g., mockups, constraints, processes, states, operations, etc.) within a single hop or multiple hop

distance. The main goal is to establish a robust and ubiquitous communication among the heterogeneous embedded devices in the network. Machine connectivity, proximity, identification, and messaging are the cornerstones for conceptual M2M/D2D communications in IIoT environments.

There are several challenges to designing an interoperable IIoT environment, and here are the two main ones:

1. a massive amount of heterogeneous IIoT devices are sharing resources, and the majority of the IIoT devices are constrained in terms of storage and processing,
2. the industrial IIoT applications mainly rely on a composition model with an advanced single and centralized orchestrator (Praveen Kumar et al. 2019).

On one hand, several organizations and collaborative institutes such as IEEE<sup>1</sup>, IETF<sup>2</sup>, and 3GPP<sup>3</sup> explicitly develop and standardize industrial M2M communications. OM2M is one of the universal M2M platforms for industrial applications, where computing devices, gateways, and networks are the building blocks of the ETSI-M2M standard (Ali et al. 2017). Table 1 categorizes IIoT research activities according to the main criteria involved to reach an adaptive and extensible system:

- **Heterogeneity:** IIoT devices are heterogeneous in terms of hardware capabilities, network interfaces and data format supported to interact.
- **Transparency:** We classify transparency capabilities of IIoT systems in two levels: technical integration or platform integration. Technical integration is the possibility to deal with the interoperability issue—either at the network level with compatible protocols, or at the data level with supported data format, or at the semantic level with substitution rules. Platform integration allows to deal with higher levels of interoperability issues—either to integrate new devices, to communicate cross-domain, or to rule all the actors of a domain or sector-specific architecture.
- **Adaptation:** Adaptation criteria in our classification refer to protocol and platform capabilities to adapt and optimize their energy, latency and throughput.
- **Opportunistic:** Opportunistic criteria show the ability of the IIoT system to discover and benefit from its context. In IIoT systems, we particularly classify the system scaling ability in this criteria.
- **Determinism:** Determinism criteria describes the ability of the IIoT system to reproduce the same result from a given context.
- **Automatic:** Automatic criteria validate the IIoT system's ability to automatically make a decision and adapt to an unknown context.
- **Interaction capabilities:** In Industry 4.0, IIoT systems grab context information, to be processed by the cognitive computing part, and to be displayed by the Human-Machine Interaction part. The interaction criteria do not expose the

<sup>1</sup> <https://standards.ieee.org>.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.ietf.org/standards>.

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.3gpp.org/specifications>.

**Table 1** Summary of existing IIoT approaches according to PIS criteria

|        | Authors                    | Context              | Heterogeneity                                      |  | Machine                           | Data               | Transparency         | Adaptation |         |            | Opportunistic | Determinism | Automatic | Interactive |
|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
|        |                            |                      | Network                                            |  |                                   |                    |                      | Energy     | Latency | Throughput |               |             |           |             |
| t32.1  |                            |                      |                                                    |  |                                   |                    |                      |            |         |            |               |             |           |             |
| t32.2  |                            |                      |                                                    |  |                                   |                    |                      |            |         |            |               |             |           |             |
| t32.3  | Pradhan et al. (2018)      | Smart City           | LTE, IEEE 802.11b/g/n, LoRaWAN, NATO IST 147, MQTT |  | RFID, spo2, heart, muscle sensors | XML, JSON          | Technical (Network)  |            |         |            | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |
| t32.4  | Guan et al. (2017)         | Smart City           | ZigBee, MQTT, WLAN                                 |  | Smartphone, gateway               | VICINITY API       | Technical (Network)  |            |         |            | ✗             | ✗           | ✓         |             |
| t32.5  | Pramukantoro et al. (2018) | Smart City           | 6LoWPAN, Bluetooth, CoAP, MQTT, Websocket          |  | ESP 32, ESP 8266, RPI             |                    | Technical (network)  | ✗          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |
| t32.6  | Žarko et al. (2019)        | Smart City           | HTTPs, AMQP                                        |  |                                   | JSON, RDF          | Technical (data)     |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           | ✓           |
| t32.7  | Pramukantoro et al. (2017) | Smart City           | IEEE 802.11b/g/n, CoAP, MQTT, Websocket            |  | MCU, DHT 22, gateway              | JSON               | Technical (data)     | ✗          |         | ✓          | ✓             | ✗           |           |             |
| t32.8  | Doumbouya et al. (2014)    | Healthcare, mobility |                                                    |  | Smartphone                        | XML, XHTML         | Technical (data)     |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           |             |
| t32.9  | Alaya et al. (2015)        | Smart City           | HTTP, CoAP                                         |  |                                   | IoT-O semantic     | Technical (semantic) |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           |             |
| t32.10 | Yang and Wei (2019)        | Smart City           |                                                    |  |                                   | Rules, SIA, Tabdoc | Technical (semantic) | ✗          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           | ✓         | ✓           |
| t32.11 | Epple et al. (2017)        | Smart factory        |                                                    |  |                                   | IEC61360           | Technical (semantic) |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           |             |

(continued)

**Table 1** (continued)

|        | Authors                 | Context                          | Heterogeneity                     |                            | Data           | Transparency            | Adaptation |         |            | Opportunistic | Determinism | Automatic | Interactive |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
|        |                         |                                  | Network                           | Machine                    |                |                         | Energy     | Latency | Throughput |               |             |           |             |
| t35.1  |                         |                                  |                                   |                            |                |                         |            |         |            |               |             |           |             |
| t35.2  | Ray et al. (2019)       | Smart City, healthcare           | Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11b/g/n, MQTT | Motor, GRS sensor, gateway |                | Platform (device)       | ✗          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |
| t35.3  |                         |                                  |                                   |                            |                |                         |            |         |            |               |             |           |             |
| t35.4  | Xiao et al. (2014)      | Smart *                          | HTTP                              |                            | XMPCDC, XMP    | Platform (device)       | ✗          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           |           | ✓           |
| t35.5  | Negash et al. (2019)    | Smart factory                    | HTTP, Zigbee, BLE                 |                            |                | Platform (device)       | ✗          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           | ✓         |             |
| t35.6  | Golchay et al. (2011)   | Smart City, mobility             | IEEE 802.11b/g/n, LTE             | Gateway, smartphone        | JSON           | Platform (device)       | ✓          | ✓       | ✓          | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |
| t35.7  | Frailé et al. (2018)    | Smart factory                    | IP                                |                            |                | Platform (cross-domain) |            |         |            |               | ✗           | ✓         |             |
| t35.8  | Valtolina et al. (2019) | Smart City                       |                                   |                            | JSON, ontology | Platform (cross-domain) | ✗          | ✓       | ✗          | ✗             | ✗           |           | ✓           |
| t35.9  | Bröring et al. (2017)   | Smart City                       | BIG IoT API: HTTP, MQTT, CoAP     |                            | JSON-LD, XML   | Platform (cross-domain) |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           |             |
| t35.10 | Pereira et al. (2016a)  | Healthcare                       | HTTP, CoAP                        | Gateway, smartphone        | JSON           | Platform (architecture) | ✓          | ✓       | ✗          | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |
| t35.11 | Gyvard et al. (2014)    | Smart City, healthcare           | SenML                             | RFID                       | OWL            | Platform (architecture) |            |         |            |               | ✗           |           |             |
| t35.12 | Pereira et al. (2016b)  | Smart City, Healthcare, Mobility | HSUPA, HSDPA, IPv4, HTTP          | Gateway, smartphone, GPS   | JSON           | Platform (architecture) | ✓          | ✓       | ✗          | ✗             | ✗           |           |             |

HMI's ability to visualize data, but the HMI's ability to maintain and administrate the IIoT system itself.

The first nine rows of the table show that standardizing communications leads to technical transparency—either at the protocol level, for data format, or semantic integration. These approaches optimize device point-to-point communications, such as latency or throughput criteria. They even sometimes take opportunistic advantages of network characteristics, but can not globally optimize the energy of the whole network and system (Mao et al. 2021).

On the other hand, a possible solution to these challenges is categorizing devices into tiers and orchestrating edge-fog-cloud technology into the IIoT environment. Mature cloud technology provides unlimited data collection and sharing resources across distributed IIoT environments, and fog networking supports the industrial processing chain by delivering a faster response to delay-critical industrial applications. In 2011, Cisco introduced the Fog Computing paradigm to process low-latency industrial applications near the sensing devices (Bonomi et al. 2012), or even on edge devices/gateways (Bajic et al. 2019; Cao et al. 2020). Later OpenFog Consortium<sup>4</sup>—now merged with the Industrial Internet Consortium<sup>5</sup>—was founded to develop and standardize Fog Computing (OpenFog Consortium 2018). Fog Computing supports QoS-aware data processing, productivity, mobility, and agility, making interoperable IIoT ecosystems geographically distributed over the network. Cloud computing and its supporting technologies—such as container-based cloud, serverless computing, and cloudlet—support interoperable data processing enabling big data analysis, data migration, and data virtualization by providing a pay-as-you service model. Some standards associated with cloud interoperability are ISO/IEC 19941 (ISO/IEC 2017), IEEE P2301 (IEEE 2020), and OCCI (Ahmed-Nacer et al. 2017). Furthermore, some modeling languages like TOSCA (Binz et al. 2014), CAML (Bergmayr et al. 2014a), HOT (Markelov 2016) support cross-cloud data transfer, where data can be moved from one service provider to another service provider without modifying the structure and format of the data (Bergmayr et al. 2014b).

By incorporating edge-fog-cloud infrastructures into healthcare (Javaid and Haleem 2019), agriculture (Liu et al. 2021), smart city/building/home (Aheleroff et al. 2020; Karaköse and Yetiş 2017), logistics (Barreto et al. 2017; Lin and Yang 2018), automotive sector (Cronin et al. 2019), and manufacturing (Frank et al. 2019; Ghobakhloo 2020; Pilloni 2018; Wu et al. 2017), many—domestic or international (Bettiol et al. 2020)—companies have developed their IIoT environments with the creation of added value (Akdil et al. 2018; Elango 2022; Josefsson 2020) and contribution to circular economies (Dantas et al. 2021). Despite these efforts, as shown in Table 1, very few approaches are automatic, take advantage of the

<sup>4</sup> <https://web.archive.org/web/20181222131011/http://www.openfogconsortium.org>.

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.iiconsortium.org>.

context in an interactive way, and—because of the unpredictable nature of IIoT communications—none are deterministic.

### 3 Existing Solutions

Existing solutions are presented in the following sections, first, with a bottom-up approach in Sect. 3.1, describing IIoT protocols allowing to build IIoT environment connections. Then, Sect. 3.2 details the top-down approaches with Industry 4.0 architectures, allowing to build the global IIoT view. Finally, Sect. 3.3 presents existing adopted standards.

#### 3.1 IIoT Protocols

Connectivity in today's world includes a set of heterogeneous devices (e.g., sensors, smartphones), network architectures (e.g., Ad hoc, Bluetooth), and large distributed environments (e.g., Ethernet, HomePlug). This complexity is a big challenging issue for industries (Spachos and Plataniotis 2020). On top of that, devices have their own distinct issues and goals such as energy, latency, scalability, safety that further extend these challenges to the next level. The IIoT main goal is how to build a device-friendly protocol stack so that the devices can communicate with each other, inter-operate, exchange their knowledge and meet their desired objectives (Palattella et al. 2013). However, the existing OSI and IP models are unsuitable to adopt the distributive nature of IIoT and the diverse interoperability issues (Sharma and Gondhi 2018). It, therefore, requires a separate protocol stack, which is scalable, flexible, cost-efficient, and business-oriented.

Several academic efforts and standardized institutes (e.g., IETF (Moran 2021) and ITU-T<sup>6</sup> (ITU-T Study Group 20 2020)) defined 4-levels of IoT protocol stack for ultra-reliable and low-latency communication with the objective of incorporating large numbers of low-powered IoT devices.

IIoT applications can become more efficient, intelligent, flexible, and diverse by considering the benefits of new networking technologies. Therefore, in order to meet the specific IIoT requirements, several design objectives need to be considered. Table 2 summarises popular IoT/IIoT interoperable protocols, giving their characteristics and detailing their abilities according to PIS criteria:

- **Adaptation to power consumption:** Power supply is one of the prerequisites for establishing an industrial technology center for any country. Moreover, this metric is directly related to the economy. Industries such as smart grids,

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.itu.int/en/itu-telecom>.

**Table 2** Summary of existing IIoT protocols according to PIS criteria

|        | OSI layer   | Protocol    | Standard        | Context        | Characteristics |             |          |           |           | Adaptation |          | Transp. Interop. | Opportunistic Reliability |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------|
|        |             |             |                 |                | Transport       | Frequency   | Range    | Data rate | Messaging | Power      | Security |                  |                           |
| 138.1  |             |             |                 |                |                 |             |          |           |           |            |          |                  |                           |
| 138.2  | Physical    | Zigbee      | IEEE802.15.4    | Industry       | –               | 2.4 GHz     | 10–100 m | 250 kbps  | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.3  | Physical    | RFID        | ISO/IEC         | Industry       | –               | 960 MHz     | 200 mm   | 640 kbps  | –         | Low        | ✓        | –                | ✓                         |
| 138.4  | Physical    | NFC         | ISO/IEC         | Smartphones    | –               | 13.56 MHz   | 4m       | 424 kbps  | –         | Low        | ✓        | –                | ✓                         |
| 138.5  | Full stack  | SigFox      | SigFox          | Industry       | Both            | 862–928 MHz | 50 km    | 1 kbps    | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.6  | Full Stack  | NB-IoT      | 3GPP            | Smart City     | Both            | 200 KHz     | 10 km    | 1 Mbps    | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.7  | Datalink    | Z-Wave      | IEEE 802.15.4   | Smart Home     | UDP             | 915 MHz     | 30–100 m | 100 kbps  | –         | Low        | ✓        | –                | ✓                         |
| 138.8  | Datalink    | HomePlug GP | IEEE 1901–2010  | Industry       | –               | 28 MHz      | 2 km     | 1 Mbps    | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.9  | Datalink    | Dash7       | ISO/IEC 18000-7 | Industry       | –               | 433 MHz     | 5 km     | 200 kbps  | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.10 | Datalink    | LoRaWAN     | LoRaWAN R 1.0   | Smart City     | –               | 868,915 MHz | 15 km    | 50 kbps   | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.11 | Datalink    | Bluetooth   | IEEE 802.15.1   | Smart Home     | TCP             | 2.4 GHz     | 10–150 m | 1 Mbps    | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✗                | ✓                         |
| 138.12 | Datalink    | BLE         | IEEE 802.15.1   | Industry       | –               | 2.5 GHz     | 100 m    | 2 Mbps    | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.13 | Network     | 6LoWPAN     | IEEE 802.15.4   | Infrastructure | –               | –           | –        | –         | –         | Low        | ✗        | –                | ✗                         |
| 138.14 | Network     | WiFi        | IEEE802.11      | Transport      | UDP             | 2.4–5 GHz   | 50 m     | 200 Mbps  | –         | Medium     | ✓        | ✓                | ✗                         |
| 138.15 | Network     | RPL         | IETF            | Routing        | –               | –           | –        | –         | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✓                | ✓                         |
| 138.16 | Network     | IPv4        | RFC 3927        | Identification | TCP             | –           | –        | –         | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✗                | ✗                         |
| 138.17 | Network     | IPv6        | IETF            | Identification | Both            | –           | –        | –         | –         | Low        | ✓        | ✗                | ✓                         |
| 138.18 | Network     | HTTP        | HTTP 1.1        | Healthcare     | Both            | –           | –        | –         | ReqRes    | High       | ✓        | ✗                | ✓                         |
| 138.19 | Application | CoAP        | RFC 7252        | Industry       | UDP             | –           | –        | –         | ReqRes    | Low        | ✓        | ✗                | ✓                         |
| 138.20 | Application |             |                 |                |                 |             |          |           |           |            |          |                  |                           |

(continued)

**Table 2** (continued)

| OSI layer   | Protocol  | Standard      | Characteristics |           |           |       | Adaptation |           |        | Transp. Interop. | Opportunistic Reliability |          |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|
|             |           |               | Context         | Transport | Frequency | Range | Data rate  | Messaging | Power  |                  |                           | Security |
| Application | XMPP      | IETF          | Industry        | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Req\Res   | High   | ✗                | ✗                         | ✓        |
| Application | WebSocket | IETF          | Industry        | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✗                | ✗                         | ✓        |
| Application | MQTT      | ISO/IEC 20922 | Industry        | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✗                | ✗                         | ✓        |
| Application | Mosquitto | MQTT v3.1     | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Medium | ✗                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | HiveMQ    | HiveMQ 4      | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✗                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | VerneMQ   | VerneMQ       | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✓                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | ZeroMQ    | ZeroMQ        | Industry        | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Both      | Low    | ✓                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | paho MQTT | paho MQTT     | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✗                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | AMQP      | OASIS         | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Low    | ✓                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | Rabbit MQ | Rabbit MQ     | Messaging       | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Both      | High   | ✓                | ✓                         | ✓        |
| Application | WS-N      | WS-N          | Industry        | –         | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | High   | ✓                | ✗                         | ✓        |
| Application | STOMP     | STOMP 1.2     | Industry        | TCP       | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | Medium | ✗                | ✗                         | ✓        |
| Application | DDS       | DDS 1.4       | Industry        | Both      | –         | –     | –          | Pub\Sub   | High   | ✗                | ✗                         | ✓        |

smart cities, mining and manufacturing are the most affected areas of the power supply. Therefore, an interoperable IIoT ecosystem also demands energy-efficient communication protocols between lightweight IIoT devices.

- **Security adaptation:** Industrial digital technology encourages the connection of new devices, thereby increasing new threat factors and unknown risks to the industrial installations. These threads can be internal or external. Network segmentation, user access, and policy management are the three critical factors of industrial security (Benias and Markopoulos 2017).
- **Adaptive QoS:** QoS network resource management and system capabilities for IoT communications are supported with a secure backbone. QoS can include delays, latency and bandwidth variations, and packet loss to provide stable and reliable services through traffic monitoring, resource usage, and channel subscription/allocation constraints. From the user's point of view, QoS parameters can be user satisfaction, cost, processing time, and other network- or system-level parameters.
- **Transparency through interoperability:** Protocol transparency is the ability of a device or application to work independent of the type of protocol used, and a device or application that can work as such is deemed protocol transparent. For protocols, we considered the transparency through the interoperability if the user/application is—or not—concerned with the intermediate operations needed to convert when disconnecting, discovering, or recovering.
- **Opportunistic reliability:** This criterion is the ability of an object to reach a target under specified conditions for a given time, and to adapt to new context conditions. Reliability is a key performance metric for calculating the correlation between actual and optimal production volumes in industrial environments where the main goal is to increase revenue generation.

Such protocol stack includes the sensing layer protocols (e.g., WSN, BLE, and RFID), network-layer protocols (e.g., cellular networks, IPv6, Internet), discovery protocols (e.g., DNS-SD, mDNS, Physical Web), semantic protocols (e.g., Web Thing Model, JSON-LD), device management protocols (e.g., OMA-DM, TR-069), service layer protocol (e.g., COAP, HTTP), and application layer protocols (e.g., DNS, DNP, SNMP, LwM2M, mobile application, and device management) (Lerche et al. 2012). Several updates have been made to incorporate this model into more communication technologies. Ray et al. (2019) have designed a new protocol stack by incorporating gateway level into the existing model. Other works (Agiwal et al. 2016; Vasudev et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2021) also added a separate security layer to secure the industrial network.. Over time, several new protocols have been designed (e.g., NFC, IEEE802.11, Bluetooth Mesh, and 5G) (Zezulka et al. 2018), and existing protocols modified (e.g., MQTT, CoAP, CoAP+, and CoAP++) to achieve interoperability (Iglesias-Urkià et al. 2017). In addition, several industrial proprietary and open-source application protocols such as 6LoWPAN (Bonavolontà et al. 2017) and WirelessHART were proposed for industrial application (Devan et al. 2021). ISA100.11a has been designed for automation (Adriano et al. 2018). SigFox has been introduced for M2M communication (Goursaud and Gorce 2015).

BLE has been redesigned for low-powered communication, NB-IoT for smart metering. And LTE-MTC has been introduced for machine-level communication to make interoperable connectivity among heterogeneous devices (Dangana et al. 2021; Mogensen et al. 2019).

### 3.2 Industry 4.0 Architectures

An architecture represents a robust and ground-level understanding related to the scenarios that help to recognize problems and difficulties. A Reference Architecture (RA) provides a template solution for an architecture for a particular domain—in our precise case for Industry 4.0 (Clements et al. 2010). The key idea of designing a reference architecture (such as, for example, service-oriented architecture (SOA)) is to highlight modularity, scalability, adaptiveness, and interoperability among the connected heterogeneous devices in a real-time environment. Over the past decades, several reference architectures have been designed to establish IoT/IIoT ecosystems. Sarkar et al. (2022) have introduced a four-layer fog framework to handle latency-sensitive IoT applications. Mukherjee et al. (2019) have demonstrated three-level IIoT architecture for interoperable data processing. Viriyasitavat et al. (2019) have introduced a secure IoT architecture. Several other efforts (Al-Masri 2018; Bedhief et al. 2019; Hou et al. 2019; Pallewatta et al. 2022) also proposed more profitable multi-tier IIoT architectures to meet industrial application requirements. Some standardized RAs (e.g., IEC30166 (ISO/IEC 2020), RAMI (Hankel and Rexroth 2015), BIG IoT (Bröring et al. 2017), IBM Industry 4.0 (Kiradjiev 2017), FIWARE (Barriga et al. 2022; Cirillo et al. 2019), Arrowhead<sup>7</sup> (Delsing 2017), Open Connectivity Foundation<sup>8</sup> (Park 2017), ThingWorx<sup>9</sup>) have been proposed to address issues in the manufacturing industry (Wang 2020). Some popular commercial reference architectures are AWS IoT<sup>10</sup>, Azure IoT<sup>11</sup>, Google Cloud IoT<sup>12</sup>, and Predix IIoT<sup>13</sup>. Two standardizing organizations, namely Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC) and Plattform Industrie 4.0<sup>14</sup>, proposed RAMI 4.0 and IIRA architectures. These organizations are mainly focus on Industry 4.0 and interoperable IIoT-related research issues (Hankel and Rexroth 2015). In the same vein, the architecture

<sup>7</sup> <https://arrowhead.eu/why-how/what-is-it/architecture>.

<sup>8</sup> <https://openconnectivity.org>.

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.ptc.com/en/products/thingworx>.


<sup>10</sup> <https://aws.amazon.com/iot>.

<sup>11</sup> <https://azure.microsoft.com/overview/iot>.

<sup>12</sup> <https://cloud.google.com/solutions/iot>.

<sup>13</sup> <https://www.ge.com/digital/iiot-platform>.

<sup>14</sup> <https://www.plattform-i40.de>.



Source: Plattform Industrie 4.0

**Fig. 3** Reference architectural model industrie 4.0 (RAMI 4.0)

of IBM Industry 4.0<sup>15</sup> and the NIST<sup>16</sup> services-based architecture<sup>17</sup> are other popular design architectures. We focus on these four popular industrial reference architectures, which are discussed below:

1. **RAMI 4.0:** In a smart industry, the production objects must communicate automatically and autonomously with other devices (Hankel and Rexroth 2015). To create a unified platform, industries and research institutions collaborated to design RAMI 4.0 in 2015, supporting a service-oriented architecture. RAMI 4.0 provides an interoperable framework by introducing a set of conceptual interoperability layers that combine all hierarchical IT components in a layer and life cycle model for the manufacturing industry (cf Fig. 3). These are the integration layer, the business layer, the information layer, the functional layer, the asset layer, and the communication layer. With RAMI 4.0, complex processes are separated into easily accessible packages to provide data protection and IT security. The key objectives of RAMI 4.0 are to extend business and organization models, connect physical things to the real world, and transform the underlying world into a digital world.
2. **IIRA:** The term IIRA stands for Investment, Innovation & Research Alliance. This standardized architecture was first released in 2015 to encourage system architects from the industrial domain to build their own structures based on

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.ibm.com/topics/industry-4-0>.

<sup>16</sup> <https://www.nist.gov>.

<sup>17</sup> <https://www.nist.gov/programs-projects/service-oriented-architectures-smart-manufacturing-project>.

- shared knowledge and principles (IIConsortium Architecture Task Group 2019). The IIRA Reference Architecture provides a set of core principles and a common foundation for creating, reporting, communicating, and executing among IoT participants. It also permits building the system on top of IIoT - with no restrictions on the use of particular specifications or requirements of protocols. Similar to RAMI 4.0, the key features of this model are safety, security, resiliency, privacy, scalability, and reliability.
3. **IBM Industry 4.0 Architecture:** In 2017, IBM designed Industry 4.0 Reference Architecture to manage devices and provide cognitive services to users (Kiradjiev 2017). This standardized architecture consists of two layers, namely the device layer and the platform layer. In the device layer (also called the edge layer), smart devices share the generated data with gateway devices and hybrid cloud servers. Furthermore, the platform layer is divided into the plant and enterprise layers, which are commonly used for data visualization and cloud data management. The platform layer also provides infrastructure services, application development, security, and data analytics through the API platform. IBM Industry 4.0 architecture also allows OPC-UA communication standards.
  4. **NIST Service-Oriented Reference Architecture:** NIST has also proposed one of the most popular service-oriented reference architectures for the manufacturing industry (Ivezic et al. 2018; Lu et al. 2016). This architecture combines information technology and operational technology via a manufacturing service bus. It offers a business intelligence service that ensures communication between all the stakeholders. The design objectives of NIST RA are to provide real-time industrial services, operational services, virtual services, IT services, data analysis, and application management.

Even though the architectures vary by application domains, sensing devices, gateway, fog/edge server, and cloud infrastructures are the most common components in all architectures. Figure 4, for instance, presents an architecture, where heterogeneous devices and technologies are combined to achieve interoperability in a real-time environment. Here, the gateway devices are mainly designed for handling cross-domain interoperability (Patel et al. 2018), edge/fog servers are in charge of handling delay-critical industrial applications, and the cloud servers are deployed for storage and data analysis (Valtolina et al. 2019).

### 3.3 Standards

To achieve a good business assistance and a high productivity in the industrial environment, IIoT-related technologies must be engineered and standardized to analyze the specification of data exchange, manufacturing, and communication technologies requirements among the heterogeneous objects. The future of IIoT mainly relies on technology standardizations (e.g., IEEE 802.15.4a (Molisch et al. 2004), IETF) that include interoperability, usability, trustworthiness, and zero downtime business



IETF6Lo<sup>22</sup>, IEC<sup>23</sup>, OSGi<sup>24</sup> (Manzaroli et al. 2010), ETSI DASH7<sup>25</sup>), standardized organizations for interoperability (IEEE PLC<sup>26</sup>, IPv6 Forum<sup>27</sup>, OMA<sup>28</sup>,<sup>29</sup> (Delgado et al. 2005), oneM2M, DMTF<sup>30</sup>, SNIA<sup>31</sup> (Zhang et al. 2013)), standardized organizations for application (OSGi), standardized organizations for infrastructure (ETSI TETRA<sup>32</sup>, ITU-T, IEEE, IEC, OSGi), standardized organizations for IoT architecture (IEEE, ITU-T, ISO/IEC JTC1<sup>33</sup>), standardized organizations for devices and sensor technology (3GPP, ETSI ERM<sup>34</sup>, ISO/IEC, M2.COM<sup>35</sup>), standardized organizations for security and privacy (IEEE, ETSI DECT<sup>36</sup>, ISO/IEC). We present a brief comparative analysis of standardized organizations and standards in Table 3. We divide our classification according to three main aspects:

1. **Challenge:** IIoT issues can be tackled at different levels to allow different manufacturers to have chip/hardware compatibility - inside the device, through the interactions - network connectivity or interoperability, inside the infrastructure, in the applications, or even though the global organization of the architecture.
2. **Context:** Standards can be used in different vertical application domains. Addressing specific domains completely guide the standard definitions.
3. **Goals/Responsibilities:** According to the level challenged, each standard can provide different abilities. Knowing the core responsibilities of each standard is essential to orient the standard choices to integrate into a Reference Architecture.

Moreover, some academic researchers have also been brought in to solve some standardization problems for industrial applications. For example, Weyrich and Ebert (2016) have talked about IIoT architectures and standards for an industrial environment. Deng et al. (2019) have highlighted the importance of IEEE 802.11ba for green IIoT in fast-paced industrial applications. Similarly, other works (Chi et al. 2014; Leonardi et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019) also significantly impacted the IIoT domain, especially for long-range communications. However, there is still much

<sup>22</sup> <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/6lo>.

<sup>23</sup> <https://iec.ch>.

<sup>24</sup> <https://www.osgi.org>.

<sup>25</sup> <https://www.dash7-alliance.org>.

<sup>26</sup> <https://standards.ieee.org/industry-connections/interoperability-and-compliance-testing>.

<sup>27</sup> <https://www.ipv6forum.com>.

<sup>28</sup> <https://omaspecworks.org>.

<sup>29</sup> <https://technical.openmobilealliance.org>.

<sup>30</sup> <https://www.dmtf.org>.

<sup>31</sup> <https://www.snia.org>.

<sup>32</sup> <https://www.etsi.org/technologies/tetra>.

<sup>33</sup> <https://jtc1info.org>.

<sup>34</sup> <https://www.etsi.org/committee/1398-erm>.

<sup>35</sup> <https://www.bosch-sensortec.com/news/sensor-platform-m2-com.html>.

<sup>36</sup> <https://www.etsi.org/committee/dect>.

**Table 3** Summary of existing IIoT standards

| Challenge        | Organization   | Standards                                          | Context                                         | Goals/Responsibilities                                                                                                                  |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Device           | 3GPP           | GPRS, LTE-Advanced Pro, HSPA                       | Smart device, industry, agriculture, smart city | Radio transmission, M2M communication, low latency network, high data rate                                                              |
| Device           | ETSI           | ETSI EN 301 406, ETSI TS 102 939 1                 | Smart device, industry, agriculture, smart city | Home automation, low energy radio transmission, security and authentication, cellular network                                           |
| Device           | IEEE - ISO/IEC | ISO/IEC/IEEE 21451-1:2010, ISO/IEC/IEEE 21450:2010 | Agriculture, industry, smart city               | Device interoperability, TIM and TEDS, smart interface, sensing and actuating                                                           |
| Device           | IETF CoRE      | CoAP                                               | Smart city, agriculture, mobility, industry     | Protocol design, request-response model, time-dependent access, transmission consistency                                                |
| Device           | oneM2M         | BBF                                                | Smart city, agriculture, mobility, industry     | Message flow, protocol management, standard communication, M2M connectivity                                                             |
| Interoperability | AllSeen        | AllJoyn                                            | Smart device                                    | Among D2D and software applications, secure communication, client/server model, interoperability testing                                |
| Interoperability | IEEE PLC       | IEEE 1905.1a                                       | Smart environment                               | Mac and physical layer design, smart home technology, wired and wireless                                                                |
| Interoperability | oneM2M         | ETSI TS 118 112/118 123                            | Agriculture, smart device, mobility             | Interoperability testing, ontology, smart home applications, data management                                                            |
| Connectivity     | 3GPP           | ETSI TS 123 002, NB-IoT, LTE-ADVANCED              | Smart city, agriculture, smart device, mobility | Core networking, delay-sensitive applications, network optimization, data transmission over a cellular network, radio telecommunication |
| Connectivity     | ETSI DECT      | ETSI TS 102 939-2, ETSI EN 300 175                 | Smart city, industry                            | M2M communication, industrial automation, low power communication, bi-directional data transmission                                     |
| Connectivity     | IEEE 802       | IEEE 802.11 / 802.15.4                             | Smart device, mobility                          | Wireless transmission, MAC and physical layer design, unlicensed spectrum, WPAN and LR-WPANs                                            |

(continued)

Table 3 (continued)

| Challenge              | Organization | Standards                         | Context                                                          | Goals/Responsibilities                                                                                    |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47.1<br>Connectivity   | OASIS        | MQTT                              | Smart device, mobility                                           | M2M communication, publish/subscribe messaging, resource discovery, QoS guarantees                        |
| 47.3<br>Infrastructure | ETSI TETRA   | ETSI EN 300 392                   | Agriculture, smart environment                                   | Digital radio system, bi-directional communication, large scale communication, transportation             |
| 47.4<br>Infrastructure | IEEE         | 802.11s                           | Agriculture, smart environment, smart device, mobility           | Public WiFi, follow mesh topology, high data rate, mesh gateway                                           |
| 47.5<br>Infrastructure | ITU-T        | ITU G.992.x, ITU G.651, ITU G.652 | Smart device, mobility, agriculture, industry                    | Delay sensitive IoT data, audio, and video, QoS guaranteed, fiber technology                              |
| 47.6<br>Infrastructure | oneM2M       | ETSI TS 118 102, ETSI TS 118 101  | Agriculture, smart device, smart environment, industry           | IoT deployment, platform service, middleware interoperability                                             |
| 47.7<br>Infrastructure | IEC          | IEC 61508                         | Agriculture, smart device, mobility, smart environment, industry | Safety system, control system, Industry application                                                       |
| 47.8<br>Application    | BBF          | TR-069/CPE                        | Smart environment                                                | Remote device management, application service management, auto configuration service, gateway and router  |
| 47.9<br>Application    | OMA          | OMA-DM/LWM2M                      | Smart device, smart environment                                  | Data synchronization, mobility and fault detection, lightweight data model, device management             |
| 47.10<br>Architecture  | IEEE         | P2413                             | Agriculture, smart device                                        | Industrial technology, agricultural framework, D2D communication, SOA architecture                        |
| 47.11<br>Architecture  | ICC          | IIRA                              | Agriculture, smart device, smart environment                     | Framework for RA, device interoperability, high level abstraction, industrial viewpoint                   |
| 47.12<br>Architecture  | ITU-T        | ITU-T Y.2060                      | Agriculture, smart device, smart environment                     | Four layer reference architecture, security management, cross-domain communication, business architecture |
| 47.13<br>Architecture  | oneM2M       | ETSI TS 118 101                   | Smart city, smart device, mobility, agriculture, industry        | M2M communication, service layer, end-to-end transmission, functional architecture                        |

room for improvement, especially concerning the interoperability challenge in the Industry 4.0 landscape.

## 4 Discussions

To face the great evolution of technologies, industries need to be flexible to adapt to new requirements and anticipate new needs. Future research still needs to address many challenges to guarantee a good fit between IIoT technologies and companies' businesses. We conclude this chapter by identifying some fundamental research challenges and future trends:

- IIoT security issues:** Security is one of the essential criteria of Industry 4.0, which has always received the greatest attention from industry and the great public. For example, banking systems, telecommunication and retail sectors are always in need of securing their transactions from third parties (Khanboubi et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2019). This security challenge is even more significant as IIoT pushes the classically-closed IT security limits to be semipermeable to attacks (Figueroa-Lorenzo et al. 2020; Tournier et al. 2021). Digital society demands a more secure and reliable technology, where data can be distributed over the network and transactions validated and stored in chronological order. Blockchain is a decentralized and distributed technology that allows user data to be stored securely in a distributed way in the network, reducing the ability of data tampering. Even if costly (Sedlmeir et al. 2020), by incorporating blockchain into the industrial environment, both users and industry can securely connect without the help of a third party and create trust by having no central authority (Huo et al. 2022). Cybersecurity evolves toward the convergence of AI, IoT, blockchain and Edge Computing (Muhati et al. 2022) to detect cross-domain attacks (Tournier et al. 2020), to mitigate risks (Silva and Lepikson 2022) by using advanced machine learning techniques, such as deep learning/reinforcement learning, to discover network patterns, identify and prevent intrusions (Latif et al. 2021; Vaiyapuri et al. 2021).
- IIoT ecosystem with energy harvesting:** IoT is an undeniable precursor to making useful measurements and controls for the Industry 4.0. Sensor deployment implies a massive demand for low-power battery-free/rechargeable wireless devices deployment. Additionally, recharging and replacing the batteries of hundreds or even thousands of IIoT devices can be laborious or even impractical (de Wolff 2021). To address this challenge, several energy harvesting approaches such as low-powered communication protocols, light energy harvesting, kinetic energy harvesting, and thermal energy harvesting have been designed for IIoT applications (Sanislav et al. 2021). However, such strategies are not entirely suitable for dense IIoT deployment. As the IIoT devices are often deployed in unstable weather-dependent (Murphy et al. 2021) or faulty environments (Ahmed et al. 2020, 2021), relying on a single energy

harvesting technique can lead to a number of other problems, such as power shortages, hardware failures, and intermittent uptime. To overcome this energy bottleneck, two or more energy harvesting techniques need to be integrated into IIoT devices for efficient power management (Sah and Amgoth 2020), hybrid energy storage technologies for harvesting systems can be used (Altinel and Karabulut Kurt 2019), and low-tech & green IIoT could be consider to reduce energy and temperature and CO2 emission rate in the environment (Hu et al. 2021; Patsavellas and Saloniitis 2019). These later points remain big challenges for the industry.

- **IIoT network and infrastructure virtualization:** 5G and its preceding 4G—known as LTE-Advanced—are known to build IoT-enabled intelligent services and application-oriented ecosystem. The increasing 5G demand for high-quality multimedia data and low-latency applications triggered several issues in industrial networks, especially the efficient, safe and secure allocation of resources (Brettel et al. 2014; Hazra et al. 2021). To implement this vision realistically in IIoT environments, the physical network must be divided into several separate logical networks of various sizes and configurations to allocate these resources to different types of services with different properties to guarantee. Virtualization through SDN and NFV bridges this gap between network configuration and network resources management (Barakabitze et al. 2020). Several efforts have been made on combining SDN/NFV solutions with edge/fog/cloud architectures to develop new services and control over the network (Chalapathi et al. 2021). Container-based SDN controller with edge/fog federations has been proposed to make an interoperable industrial network (Hou et al. 2019; Rufino et al. 2017). Manufacturing companies can virtually control production with efficient delay-tolerant service provisioning and on-demand specific resource optimization, such as through WSN (Nkomo et al. 2018) or 3D-reconstruction (Badat et al. 2020). However, researchers still need to consider several other issues such as computing on IoT nodes (Blanco and Le Mouël 2020), distributed scheduling, load balancing, easy network and cluster programmability (Fortier et al. 2021), multi-tenant services, hybrid SDN controllers, and 6G low-latency mobile service requirements (Du et al. 2020; Qadir et al. 2022) to take total control over the industrial networks.
- **IIoT standardization:** IoT protocols and their standardization are pillars of establishing IIoT ecosystems. Internet standards have shown a convergence adoption time of 10 to 20 years. IIoT domain is even more complex, having vertical-silo application domains. Several network protocols for IoT have been designed and standardized to address various challenges like naming, addressing, routing, flow control, congestion avoidance, and large-scale industrial deployment. These protocols, however, need to be adapted to the industrial requirements. Industrial applications are characterized by extreme conditions environments (high humidity, extreme temperatures, electromagnetic interference) that complicate the integration of low latency, real-time, determinism, frequent packet loss, and reliability properties in the definition of generic standards (Qiu et al. 2022; Sari et al. 2020; Vitturi et al. 2019). Standardization of the semantic representation

and interoperability of the knowledge and the D2D interactions is also a great issue (Burns et al. 2019), and an agreed methodology among manufacturers would have to be established to meet the roadmap for standardization of Industry 4.0 proposed by Platform Industrie 4.0 (Platform Industrie 4.0 2019).

- **From Industry 4.0 to Society 5.0:** Some studies analyze the complex, mutually generative range of economic, social and political transformations of the First, Second and Third Industrial Revolutions, and argument that the same criteria cannot be found about the alleged Fourth Industrial Revolution (Moll 2021). Technologies undoubtedly continue to alter work, and lead to new varieties of work, but this evolution must also meet the broader social, cultural and geopolitical transformations to constitute a revolution. Society 5.0 concept has been introduced in Japan (Deguchi et al. 2020) and reframes two kinds of relationships: the relationship between technology and society and the technology-mediated relationship between individuals and society. Industry 4.0 advocates smart factories, while Society 5.0 calls for a supersmart society. The two visions differ in terms of measuring outcomes. Industry 4.0 aspires to create new added value and minimize manufacturing costs. Such down-to-earth outcomes allow for relatively simple and clear-cut performance metrics, such as productivity. By contrast, Society 5.0 aspires to create a supersmart society. The metrics, in this case, are much more complex. Metrics, such as sustainability, are of great importance (Beier et al. 2020; Ghobakhloo 2020). Having different social and industrial goals can greatly affect the interpretive structural modelling techniques structuring contextual relationships among the Industry 4.0 architectural functions. And can so, as a consequence, totally affect the way to deploy IIoT devices, infrastructures and services. Corporate social responsibilities, environmental dimensions (Potocan et al. 2021) or happiness (Ravina Ripoll et al. 2022) are metrics to be considered and technological deployment consequences are to be studied. Society 5.0 focuses heavily on the public impact of technologies and on the need to create a better society.

## 5 Conclusions

Industry 4.0 is a revolution transforming the industry with the emergence of IoT and related technologies. This revolution drives global industrial architectures into an advanced level of digitization and productivity—where customers can experiment with customized on-demand requirements. Customer satisfaction and company productivity can thus go hand in hand. This chapter mainly focused on Industry 4.0 connectivity capabilities—towards the Industrial Internet of Things—needed to capture the context. Specifically, we have stretched on this digitalization of the physical world from an industrial perspective. We have shortened the way protocols, architectures, and standards help companies adopt the emerging Industry 4.0 technologies. Finally, we have summarized our discussion by briefly reviewing several research challenges and future scopes.

## References

- Adriano JD, Rosario ECd, Rodrigues JJ (2018) Wireless sensor networks in industry 4.0: WirelessHART and ISA100.11a. In: 13th IEEE International Conference on Industry Applications (INDUSCON), pp 924–929, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/INDUSCON.2018.8627177>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8627177>
- Agiwal M, Roy A, Saxena N (2016) Next generation 5G wireless networks: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 18(3):1617–1655, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2016.2532458>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7414384>
- Ahleroff S, Xu X, Lu Y, Aristizabal M, Pablo Velásquez J, Joa B, Valencia Y (2020) IoT-enabled smart appliances under industry 4.0: A case study. *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 43:101043, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2020.101043>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1474034620300124>
- Ahmed S, Le Mouél F, Stouls N (2020) Resilient IoT-based monitoring system for crude oil pipelines. In: 7th International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security (IOTSMS), pp 1–7, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IOTSMS52051.2020.9340197>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9340197>
- Ahmed S, Le Mouél F, Stouls N, Lipeme Kouyi G (2021) HyDiLLEch: A WSN-based distributed leak detection and localisation in crude oil pipelines. In: Barolli L, Woungang I, Enokido T (eds) *Advanced Information Networking and Applications*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 626–637, URL [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-75100-5\\_54](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-75100-5_54)
- Ahmed-Nacer M, Gaaloul W, Tata S (2017) OCCI-compliant cloud configuration simulation. In: *IEEE International Conference on Edge Computing (EDGE)*, pp 73–81, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEE.EDGE.2017.18>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8029259>
- Akdil KY, Ustundag A, Cevikcan E (2018) *Maturity and Readiness Model for Industry 4.0 Strategy*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 61–94. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57870-5\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57870-5_4)
- Al-Masri E (2018) QoS-aware IIoT microservices architecture. In: *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Internet, ICII 2018*, Seattle, WA, USA, October 21–23, 2018, IEEE, pp 171–172, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICII.2018.00030>
- Alaya MB, Medjah S, Monteil T, Drira K (2015) Toward semantic interoperability in oneM2M architecture. *IEEE Communications Magazine* 53(12):35–41, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2015.7355582>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7355582>
- Ali A, Shah GA, Farooq MO, Ghani U (2017) Technologies and challenges in developing machine-to-machine applications: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 83:124–139, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2017.02.002>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1084804517300620>
- Altinel D, Karabulut Kurt G (2019) Modeling of multiple energy sources for hybrid energy harvesting iot systems. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6(6):10846–10854, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2019.2942071>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8843930>
- Žarko IP, Mueller S, Plociennik M, Rajtar T, Jacoby M, Pardi M, Insolubile G, Glykantzis V, Antonić A, Kušek M, Soursos S (2019) The symbIoTe solution for semantic and syntactic interoperability of cloud-based IoT platforms. In: *Global IoT Summit (GIOTS)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/GIOTS.2019.8766420>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8766420>
- Badat L, Vidal V, Pioli L, Mehaut JF, Honorio L, Dantas MA (2020) An IIoT edge environment as a main support to a 3D reconstruction virtualization application. In: *Anais do XVIII Workshop em Clouds e Aplicações, SBC, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil*, pp 1–12, DOI <https://doi.org/10.5753/wcga.2020.12440>, URL <https://sol.sbc.org.br/index.php/wcga/article/view/12440>
- Bajic B, Cosic I, Katalinic B, Moraca S, Lazarevic M, Rikalovic A (2019) Edge computing vs. cloud computing: Challenges and opportunities in industry 4.0. In: *30th DAAAM International Symposium, DAAAM International, Vienna, Austria*, DOI <https://doi.org/10.2507/30th.daaam.proceedings.120>, URL [https://www.daaam.info/Downloads/Pdfs/proceedings/proceedings\\_2019/120.pdf](https://www.daaam.info/Downloads/Pdfs/proceedings/proceedings_2019/120.pdf)

- Barakabitze AA, Ahmad A, Mijumbi R, Hines A (2020) 5G network slicing using SDN and NFV: A survey of taxonomy, architectures and future challenges. *Computer Networks* 167:106984, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2019.106984>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1389128619304773>
- Barreto L, Amaral A, Pereira T (2017) Industry 4.0 implications in logistics: an overview. *Procedia Manufacturing* 13:1245–1252, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.045>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351978917306807>, manufacturing Engineering Society International Conference 2017, MESIC 2017, 28–30 June 2017, Vigo (Pontevedra), Spain
- Barriga JA, Clemente PJ, Hernández J, Pérez-Toledano MA (2022) SimulateIoT-FIWARE: Domain specific language to design, code generation and execute IoT simulation environments on FIWARE. *IEEE Access* 10:7800–7822, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3142894>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9680737>
- Bedhief I, Foschini L, Bellavista P, Kassas M, Aguilu T (2019) Toward self-adaptive software defined fog networking architecture for IIoT and industry 4.0. In: *IEEE 24th International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD)*, pp 1–5, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/CAMAD.2019.8858499>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8858499>
- Beier G, Ullrich A, Niehoff S, Reißig M, Habich M (2020) Industry 4.0: How it is defined from a sociotechnical perspective and how much sustainability it includes – a literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 259:120856, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120856>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652620309033>
- Benias N, Markopoulos AP (2017) A review on the readiness level and cyber-security challenges in industry 4.0. In: *South Eastern European Design Automation, Computer Engineering, Computer Networks and Social Media Conference (SEEDA-CECNSM)*, pp 1–5, DOI <https://doi.org/10.23919/SEEDA-CECNSM.2017.8088234>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8088234>
- Bergmayr A, Troya J, Neubauer P, Wimmer M, Kappel G (2014a) UML-based cloud application modeling with libraries, profiles, and templates. In: *Paige RF, Cabot J, Brambilla M, Rose LM, Hill JH (eds) 2nd International Workshop on Model-Driven Engineering on and for the Cloud co-located with the 17th International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems, CloudMDE@MoDELS 2014, Valencia, Spain, September 30, 2014, CEUR-WS.org, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol 1242, pp 56–65*, URL <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-1242/paper7.pdf>
- Bergmayr A, Wimmer M, Kappel G, Grossniklaus M (2014b) Cloud modeling languages by example. In: *IEEE 7th International Conference on Service-Oriented Computing and Applications*, pp 137–146, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/SOCA.2014.56>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6978602>
- Bettio M, Capestro M, Marchi VD, Maria ED (2020) Industry 4.0 investments in manufacturing firms and internationalization. “Marco Fanno” Working Papers 0245, Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche “Marco Fanno”, URL <https://ideas.repec.org/p/pad/wpaper/0245.html>
- Binz T, Breitenbücher U, Kopp O, Leymann F (2014) *TOSCA: Portable Automated Deployment and Management of Cloud Applications*, Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 527–549, DOI [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7535-4\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7535-4_22), URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7535-4\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7535-4_22)
- Blanco DF, Le Mouél F (2020) Infrastructure de services cloud FaaS sur noeuds IoT. In: *Conférence d’informatique en Parallélisme, Architecture et Systèmes (COMPAS’2020)*, Lyon, France, URL <http://www.le-mouel.net/Research/Publications/Conferences/2020/COMPAS2020.pdf>
- Bonavolontà F, Tedesco A, Moriello RSL, Tufano A (2017) Enabling wireless technologies for industry 4.0: State of the art. In: *IEEE International Workshop on Measurement and Networking (M&N)*, pp 1–5, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IWMN.2017.8078381>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8078381>

- Bonomi F, Milito R, Zhu J, Addepalli S (2012) Fog computing and its role in the internet of things. In: First Edition of the MCC Workshop on Mobile Cloud Computing, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, MCC '12, p 13–16, <https://doi.org/10.1145/2342509.2342513>
- Boyes H, Hallaq B, Cunningham J, Watson T (2018) The industrial internet of things (IIoT): An analysis framework. *Computers in Industry* 101:1–12, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.04.015>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166361517307285>
- Brettel M, Friederichsen N, Keller M, Rosenberg M (2014) How virtualization, decentralization and network building change the manufacturing landscape: An industry 4.0 perspective. *International Journal of Information and Communication Engineering* 8(1):37 – 44, URL <https://publications.waset.org/vol/85>
- Bröring A, Schmid S, Schindhelm CK, Khelil A, Käbisch S, Kramer D, Le Phuoc D, Mitic J, Anicic D, Teniente E (2017) Enabling IoT ecosystems through platform interoperability. *IEEE Software* 34(1):54–61, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MS.2017.2>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7819420>
- Buer SV, Fragapane GI, Strandhagen JO (2018) The data-driven process improvement cycle: Using digitalization for continuous improvement. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 51(11):1035–1040, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.471>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896318315994>, 16th IFAC Symposium on Information Control Problems in Manufacturing INCOM 2018
- Burns T, Cosgrove J, Doyle F (2019) A review of interoperability standards for industry 4.0. *Procedia Manufacturing* 38:646–653, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.01.083>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351978920300846>, 29th International Conference on Flexible Automation and Intelligent Manufacturing (FAIM 2019), June 24–28, 2019, Limerick, Ireland, Beyond Industry 4.0: Industrial Advances, Engineering Education and Intelligent Manufacturing
- Cao K, Liu Y, Meng G, Sun Q (2020) An overview on edge computing research. *IEEE Access* 8:85714–85728, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2991734>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9083958>
- Chalapathi GSS, Chamola V, Vaish A, Buyya R (2021) Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) Applications of Edge and Fog Computing: A Review and Future Directions, Springer International Publishing, pp 293–325. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57328-7\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57328-7_12)
- Chen M, Mao S, Liu Y (2014) Big data: A survey. *Mobile Networks and Applications* 19(2):171–209, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-013-0489-0>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-013-0489-0>
- Chi Q, Yan H, Zhang C, Pang Z, Xu LD (2014) A reconfigurable smart sensor interface for industrial WSN in IoT environment. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 10(2):1417–1425, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2014.2306798>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6742595>
- Cirillo F, Solmaz G, Berz EL, Bauer M, Cheng B, Kovacs E (2019) A standard-based open source IoT platform: FIWARE. *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine* 2(3):12–18, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IOTM.0001.1800022>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8950963>
- Clements P, Bachmann F, Bass L, Garland D, Ivers J, Little R, Merson P, Nord R, Stafford J (2010) Documenting Software Architectures: Views and Beyond. SEI Series in Software Engineering, Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, NJ, URL <https://www.safaribooksonline.com/library/view/documenting-software-architectures/9780132488617/>
- Cronin C, Conway A, Walsh J (2019) Flexible manufacturing systems using IIoT in the automotive sector. *Procedia Manufacturing* 38:1652–1659, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.01.119>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351978920301207>, 29th International Conference on Flexible Automation and Intelligent Manufacturing (FAIM 2019), June 24–28, 2019, Limerick, Ireland, Beyond Industry 4.0: Industrial Advances, Engineering Education and Intelligent Manufacturing

- Dangana M, Ansari S, Abbasi QH, Hussain S, Imran MA (2021) Suitability of NB-IoT for indoor industrial environment: A survey and insights. *Sensors* 21(16), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21165284>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/16/5284>
- Dantas T, de Souza E, Destro I, Hammes G, Rodriguez C, Soares S (2021) How the combination of circular economy and industry 4.0 can contribute towards achieving the sustainable development goals. *Sustainable Production and Consumption* 26:213–227, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.10.005>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352550920307983>
- Deguchi A, Hirai C, Matsuoka H, Nakano T, Oshima K, Tai M, Tani S (2020) What Is Society 5.0?, Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp 1–23. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2989-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2989-4_1)
- Delgado J, Prados J, Rodriguez E (2005) Profiles for interoperability between MPEG-21 REL and OMA DRM. In: Seventh IEEE International Conference on E-Commerce Technology (CEC'05), pp 518–521, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECT.2005.69>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1524100>
- Delsing J (2017) IoT automation: Arrowhead framework. CRC Press, URL <https://www.routledge.com/IoT-Automation-Arrowhead-Framework/Delsing/p/book/9780367658144>
- Deng DJ, Gan M, Guo YC, Yu J, Lin YP, Lien SY, Chen KC (2019) IEEE 802.11ba: Low-power wake-up radio for green IoT. *IEEE Communications Magazine* 57(7):106–112, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2019.1800389>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8767087>
- Derigent W, Cardin O, Trentesaux D (2021) Industry 4.0: contributions of holonic manufacturing control architectures and future challenges. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* 32(7):1797–1818, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-020-01532-x>, URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10845-020-01532-x>
- Devan PAM, Hussin FA, Ibrahim R, Bingi K, Khanday FA (2021) A survey on the application of WirelessHART for industrial process monitoring and control. *Sensors* 21(15), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21154951>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/15/4951>
- Doumbouya MB, Kamsu-Foguem B, Kenfack H, Foguem C (2014) Telemedicine using mobile telecommunication: Towards syntactic interoperability in teleexpertise. *Teleomatics and Informatics* 31(4):648–659, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2014.01.003>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0736585314000148>
- Du J, Jiang C, Wang J, Ren Y, Debbah M (2020) Machine learning for 6G wireless networks: Carrying forward enhanced bandwidth, massive access, and ultrareliable/low-latency service. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine* 15(4):122–134, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MVT.2020.3019650>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9206115>
- Elango J (2022) Industry 4.0 – a deep analysis of use cases and technologies. URL <https://blog.contus.com/industry-4-0-use-cases-technologies/>
- Eppel U, Mertens M, Palm F, Azarmipour M (2017) Using properties as a semantic base for interoperability. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 13(6):3411–3419, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2741339>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8012453>
- Figueroa-Lorenzo S, Añorga J, Arrizabalaga S (2020) A survey of IIoT protocols: A measure of vulnerability risk analysis based on CVSS. *ACM Computing Surveys* 53(2), URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3381038>
- Fortier P, Le Mouél F, Ponge J (2021) Dyninka: a FaaS framework for distributed dataflow applications. In: 8th ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Reactive and Event-Based Languages and Systems, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, REBLS 2021, p 2–13, URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3486605.3486789>
- Fraile F, Tagawa T, Poler R, Ortiz A (2018) Trustworthy industrial IoT gateways for interoperability platforms and ecosystems. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 5(6):4506–4514, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2018.2832041>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8353121>
- Frank AG, Dalenogare LS, Ayala NF (2019) Industry 4.0 technologies: Implementation patterns in manufacturing companies. *International Journal of Production Economics* 210:15–26, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.01.004>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925527319300040>

- Ghobakhloo M (2020) Industry 4.0, digitization, and opportunities for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 252:119869, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119869>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652619347390>
- Golchay R, Le Mouél F, Frénot S, Ponge J (2011) Towards bridging IoT and cloud services: Proposing smartphones as mobile and autonomic service gateways. In: 7ème Journées Francophones de la Mobilité et Ubiquité (UbiMob'2011), Toulouse, France, pp 45–48, URL <http://www.le-mouel.net/Research/Publications/Conferences/2011/UbiMob2011.pdf>
- Goursaud C, Gorce JM (2015) Dedicated networks for IoT: PHY / MAC state of the art and challenges. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things* 1(1), DOI <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.26-10-2015.150597>, URL <https://eudl.eu/doi/10.4108/eai.26-10-2015.150597>
- Guan Y, Vasquez JC, Guerrero JM, Samovich N, Vanya S, Oravec V, García-Castro R, Serena F, Poveda-Villalón M, Radojicic C, Heinz C, Grimm C, Tryferidis A, Tzovaras D, Dickerson K, Paralic M, Skokan M, Sabol T (2017) An open virtual neighbourhood network to connect IoT infrastructures and smart objects – Vicinity: IoT enables interoperability as a service. In: *Global Internet of Things Summit (GIoTS)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/GIOTS.2017.8016233>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8016233>
- Gyraud A, Bonnet C, Boudaoud K (2014) Enrich machine-to-machine data with semantic web technologies for cross-domain applications. In: *IEEE World Forum on Internet of Things (WF-IoT)*, pp 559–564, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/WF-IoT.2014.6803229>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6803229>
- Hankel M, Rexroth B (2015) The reference architectural model industrie 4.0 (RAMI 4.0). *ZVEI* 2(2):4–9, URL <https://przemysl-40.pl/wp-content/uploads/2010-The-Reference-Architectural-Model-Industrie-40.pdf>
- Hazra A, Adhikari M, Amgoth T, Srirama SN (2021) A comprehensive survey on interoperability for IIoT: Taxonomy, standards, and future directions. *ACM Computing Surveys* 55(1), URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3485130>
- Hong CH, Varghese B (2019) Resource management in fog/edge computing: A survey on architectures, infrastructure, and algorithms. *ACM Computing Surveys* 52(5), URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3326066>
- Hou X, Ren Z, Yang K, Chen C, Zhang H, Xiao Y (2019) IIoT-MEC: A novel mobile edge computing framework for 5G-enabled IIoT. In: *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*, pp 1–7, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/WCNC.2019.8885703>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8885703>
- Hu N, Tian Z, Du X, Guizani N, Zhu Z (2021) Deep-Green: A dispersed energy-efficiency computing paradigm for green industrial IoT. *IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking* 5(2):750–764, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGCN.2021.3064683>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9372936>
- Huo R, Zeng S, Wang Z, Shang J, Chen W, Huang T, Wang S, Yu FR, Liu Y (2022) A comprehensive survey on blockchain in industrial internet of things: Motivations, research progresses, and future challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 24(1):88–122, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2022.3141490>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9676337>
- IEEE (2020) IEEE 2301-2020: IEEE Guide for Cloud Portability and Interoperability Profiles (CPIP). IEEE, URL <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/2301/5077/>
- Iglesias-Urkia M, Orive A, Urbieto A (2017) Analysis of CoAP implementations for industrial internet of things: A survey. *Procedia Computer Science* 109:188–195, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.05.323>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050917309870>, 8th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and Technologies, ANT-2017 and the 7th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology, SEIT 2017, 16–19 May 2017, Madeira, Portugal
- II Consortium Architecture Task Group (2019) The industrial internet of things volume G1: Reference architecture (IIRA). Tech. Rep. 1.9, Industrial Internet Consortium, URL <https://www.iiconsortium.org/pdf/IIRA-v1.9.pdf>

- ISO/IEC (2017) 19941:2017(E): Information technology – Cloud computing – Interoperability and portability. ISO/IEC, URL <https://www.standict.eu/standards-repository/standard/information-technology-cloud-computing-interoperability-and>
- ISO/IEC (2020) TR 30166: Internet of things (IoT) – Industrial IoT. ISO/IEC, URL <https://www.iso.org/standard/53286.html?browse=tc>
- ITU-T Study Group 20 (2020) ITU-T Y.4475: Lightweight intelligent software framework for Internet of things devices. IUT-T, URL <https://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/14377>
- Ivezic N, Ivezic N, Kulvatunyou B, Brandl D, Macchi M, Lu Y, Noller D, Davis J, Wuest T, Kiritsis D, et al. (2018) 2017 NIST/OAGi Workshop: Enabling Composable Service-Oriented Manufacturing Systems. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), URL <https://view.ckest.cn/AllFiles/ZKBG/Pages/511/NIST.AMS.100-15.pdf>
- Javaid M, Haleem A (2019) Industry 4.0 applications in medical field: A brief review. *Current Medicine Research and Practice* 9(3):102–109, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmrp.2019.04.001>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352081719300418>
- Josefsson E (2020) How to improve ROI for industry 4.0 use cases. URL <https://www.ericsson.com/en/blog/2020/7/how-to-improve-roi-for-industry-4-0-use-cases>
- Kagermann H, Lukas WD, Wahlster W (2011) Industrie 4.0: Mit dem internet der dinge auf dem weg zur 4. industriellen revolution. *VDI Nachrichten* URL [http://www.wolfgang-wahlster.de/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/Industrie\\_4\\_0\\_Mit\\_dem\\_Internet\\_der\\_Dinge\\_auf\\_dem\\_Weg\\_zur\\_vierten\\_industriellen\\_Revolution\\_2.pdf](http://www.wolfgang-wahlster.de/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/Industrie_4_0_Mit_dem_Internet_der_Dinge_auf_dem_Weg_zur_vierten_industriellen_Revolution_2.pdf)
- Karaköse M, Yetiş H (2017) A cyberphysical system based mass-customization approach with integration of industry 4.0 and smart city. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing* 2017:1058081, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1058081>
- Khan M, Wu X, Xu X, Dou W (2017) Big data challenges and opportunities in the hype of industry 4.0. In: *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2017.7996801>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7996801>
- Khanboubi F, Boulmakoul A, Tabaa M (2019) Impact of digital trends using IoT on banking processes. *Procedia Computer Science* 151:77–84, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.04.014>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877050919304752>, the 10th International Conference on Ambient Systems, Networks and Technologies (ANT 2019) / The 2nd International Conference on Emerging Data and Industry 4.0 (EDI40 2019) / Affiliated Workshops
- Kiangala KS, Wang Z (2019) An industry 4.0 approach to develop auto parameter configuration of a bottling process in a small to medium scale industry using PLC and SCADA. *Procedia Manufacturing* 35:725–730, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2019.06.015>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351978919307401>, the 2nd International Conference on Sustainable Materials Processing and Manufacturing, SMPM 2019, 8–10 March 2019, Sun City, South Africa
- Kim J, Yun J, Choi SC, Seed DN, Lu G, Bauer M, Al-Hezmi A, Campowsky K, Song J (2016) Standard-based IoT platforms interworking: implementation, experiences, and lessons learned. *IEEE Communications Magazine* 54(7):48–54, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2016.7514163>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7514163>
- Kiradjevic P (2017) Announcing the IoT industrie 4.0 reference architecture. URL <https://www.ibm.com/cloud/blog/announcements/iot-industrie-40-reference-architecture>
- Lasi H, Fettke P, Kemper HG, Feld T, Hoffmann M (2014) Industry 4.0. *Business & information systems engineering* 6(4):239–242, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-014-0334-4>
- Latif S, Driss M, Boulila W, Huma Ze, Jamal SS, Idrees Z, Ahmad J (2021) Deep learning for the industrial internet of things (IIoT): A comprehensive survey of techniques, implementation frameworks, potential applications, and future directions. *Sensors* 21(22), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21227518>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/22/7518>

- Lee J, Davari H, Singh J, Pandhare V (2018) Industrial artificial intelligence for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. *Manufacturing Letters* 18:20–23, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2018.09.002>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213846318301081>
- Leonardi L, Battaglia F, Lo Bello L (2019) RT-LoRa: A medium access strategy to support real-time flows over LoRa-based networks for industrial IoT applications. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6(6):10812–10823, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2019.2942776>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8845658>
- Lerche C, Hartke K, Kovatsch M (2012) Industry adoption of the internet of things: A constrained application protocol survey. In: *IEEE 17th International Conference on Emerging Technologies & Factory Automation (ETFA 2012)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ETFA.2012.6489787>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6489787>
- Lin CC, Yang JW (2018) Cost-efficient deployment of fog computing systems at logistics centers in industry 4.0. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 14(10):4603–4611, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2018.2827920>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8339513>
- Liu D, Alahmadi A, Ni J, Lin X, Shen X (2019) Anonymous reputation system for IIoT-enabled retail marketing atop pos blockchain. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 15(6):3527–3537, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2019.2898900>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8640264>
- Liu Y, Ma X, Shu L, Hancke GP, Abu-Mahfouz AM (2021) From industry 4.0 to agriculture 4.0: Current status, enabling technologies, and research challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 17(6):4322–4334, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2020.3003910>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9122412>
- Lu Y, Morris KC, Frechette S, et al. (2016) Current standards landscape for smart manufacturing systems. Tech. Rep. 8107, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), URL <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/ir/2016/NIST.IR.8107.pdf>
- Manzaroli D, Roffia L, Salmon Cinotti T, Ovaska E, Azzoni P, Nannini V, Mattarozzi S (2010) Smart-M3 and OSGi: The interoperability platform. In: *The IEEE symposium on Computers and Communications*, pp 1053–1058, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCC.2010.5546622>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5546622>
- Mao W, Zhao Z, Chang Z, Min G, Gao W (2021) Energy-efficient industrial internet of things: Overview and open issues. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 17(11):7225–7237, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2021.3067026>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9381665>
- Markelov A (2016) *Orchestration of OpenStack*, Apress, Berkeley, CA, pp 119–130. DOI [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2125-9\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2125-9_10), URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2125-9\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-2125-9_10)
- Meissner H, Ilsen R, Aurich JC (2017) Analysis of control architectures in the context of industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP* 62:165–169, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.06.113>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212827117300641>, 10th CIRP Conference on Intelligent Computation in Manufacturing Engineering - CIRP ICME '16. [Edited by: Roberto Teti, Manager Editor: Dorian M. D'Addona]
- Mogensen RS, Rodriguez I, Berardinelli G, Fink A, Marcker R, Markussen S, Raunholt T, Kolding T, Pocovi G, Barbera S (2019) Implementation and trial evaluation of a wireless manufacturing execution system for industry 4.0. In: *IEEE 90th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2019-Fall)*, pp 1–7, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/VTCFall.2019.8891231>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8891231>
- Molisch AF, Balakrishnan K, Chong CC, Emami S, Fort A, Karedal J, Kunisch J, Schantz H, Schuster U, Siwiak K (2004) IEEE 802.15.4a channel model-final report. *IEEE P802* 15(04):0662, URL <https://www.ieee802.org/15/pub/04/15-04-0662-02-004a-channel-model-final-report-r1.pdf>
- Moll I (2021) The myth of the fourth industrial revolution. *Theoria* 68(167):1–38, DOI <https://doi.org/10.3167/th.2021.6816701>, URL <https://www.berghahnjournals.com/view/journals/theoria/68/167/th6816701.xml>

- Moran B (2021) A summary of security-enabling technologies for IoT device. Internet-Draft draft-moran-iot-nets-00, Internet Engineering Task Force, URL <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-moran-iot-nets-00>, work in Progress
- Muhati E, Rawat DB, Sadler BM (2022) A new cyber-alliance of artificial intelligence, internet of things, blockchain, and edge computing. *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine* 5(1):104–107, URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/IOTM.001.2100181>
- Mukherjee M, Kumar S, Shojafar M, Zhang Q, Mavroumoustakis CX (2019) Joint task offloading and resource allocation for delay-sensitive fog networks. In: *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pp 1–7, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2019.8761239>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8761239>
- Murphy MJ, Tveito Ø, Kleiven EF, Raïs I, Soininen EM, Bjørndalen JM, Anshus O (2021) Experiences building and deploying wireless sensor nodes for the arctic tundra. In: *IEEE/ACM 21st International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Internet Computing (CCGrid)*, pp 376–385, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/CCGrid51090.2021.00047>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9499357>
- Negash B, Westerlund T, Tenhunen H (2019) Towards an interoperable internet of things through a web of virtual things at the fog layer. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 91:96–107, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.07.053>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X18308859>
- Nkomo M, Hancke GP, Abu-Mahfouz AM, Sinha S, Onumanyi AJ (2018) Overlay virtualized wireless sensor networks for application in industrial internet of things: A review. *Sensors* 18(10), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18103215>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/10/3215>
- OpenFog Consortium (2018) IEEE Std 1934–2018: IEEE Standard for Adoption of OpenFog Reference Architecture for Fog Computing. IEEE, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8423800>
- Palattella MR, Accettura N, Vilajosana X, Watteyne T, Grieco LA, Boggia G, Dohler M (2013) Standardized protocol stack for the internet of (important) things. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 15(3):1389–1406, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/SURV.2012.111412.00158>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6380493>
- Pallewatta S, Kostakos V, Buyya R (2022) QoS-aware placement of microservices-based IoT applications in fog computing environments. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 131:121–136, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2022.01.012>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X22000206>
- Paravizo E, Chaim OC, Braatz D, Muschard B, Rozenfeld H (2018) Exploring gamification to support manufacturing education on industry 4.0 as an enabler for innovation and sustainability. *Procedia Manufacturing* 21:438–445, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.02.142>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351978918301811>, 15th Global Conference on Sustainable Manufacturing
- Park S (2017) OCF: A new open IoT consortium. In: *31st International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops (WAINA)*, pp 356–359, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/WAINA.2017.86>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7929703>
- Patel P, Ali MI, Sheth A (2018) From raw data to smart manufacturing: AI and semantic web of things for industry 4.0. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 33(4):79–86, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2018.043741325>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8497012>
- Patsavellas J, Salonitis K (2019) The carbon footprint of manufacturing digitalization: critical literature review and future research agenda. *Procedia CIRP* 81:1354–1359, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2019.04.026>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212827119306407>, 52nd CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems (CMS), Ljubljana, Slovenia, June 12–14, 2019
- Pereira C, Pinto A, Aguiar A, Rocha P, Santiago F, Sousa J (2016a) IoT interoperability for actuating applications through standardised M2M communications. In: *IEEE 17th International Symposium on A World of Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks (WoWMoM)*, pp 920

- 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/WoWMoM.2016.7523564>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7523564>
- Pereira C, Rodrigues J, Pinto A, Rocha P, Santiago F, Sousa J, Aguiar A (2016b) Smartphones as M2M gateways in smart cities IoT applications. In: 23rd International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT), pp 1–7, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICT.2016.7500481>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7500481>
- Piccarozzi M, Aquilani B, Gatti C (2018) Industry 4.0 in management studies: A systematic literature review. *Sustainability* 10(10), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103821>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/10/3821>
- Pilloni V (2018) How data will transform industrial processes: Crowdsensing, crowdsourcing and big data as pillars of industry 4.0. *Future Internet* 10(3), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi10030024>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1999-5903/10/3/24>
- Platform Industrie 40 (2019) Which criteria do industrie 4.0 products need to fulfil? - guideline. Tech. rep., Platform Industrie 4.0 & ZVEI, URL [https://www.platform-i40.de/IP/Redaktion/EN/Downloads/Publication/criteria-industrie-40-products.pdf?\\_\\_blob=publicationFile&v=5](https://www.platform-i40.de/IP/Redaktion/EN/Downloads/Publication/criteria-industrie-40-products.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=5)
- Potocan V, Mulej M, Nedelko Z (2021) Society 5.0: balancing of industry 4.0, economic advancement and social problems. *Kybernetes* 50:794–811, URL <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/K-12-2019-0858/full/html>
- Pradhan M, Suri N, Fuchs C, Bloebaum TH, Marks M (2018) Toward an architecture and data model to enable interoperability between federated mission networks and IoT-enabled smart city environments. *IEEE Communications Magazine* 56(10):163–169, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2018.1800305>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8493137?denied=>
- Pramukantoro ES, Yahya W, Bakhtiar FA (2017) Performance evaluation of IoT middleware for syntactical interoperability. In: International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and Information Systems (ICACSIS), pp 29–34, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACSIS.2017.8355008>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8355008>
- Pramukantoro ES, Andri Bakhtiar F, Aji B, Pratama R (2018) Middleware for network interoperability in IoT. In: 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI), pp 499–502, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/EECSI.2018.8752917>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8752917>
- Praveen Kumar D, Amgoth T, Annayrapu CSR (2019) Machine learning algorithms for wireless sensor networks: A survey. *Information Fusion* 49:1–25, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2018.09.013>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S156625351830277X>
- Qadir Z, Le KN, Saeed N, Munawar HS (2022) Towards 6G internet of things: Recent advances, use cases, and open challenges. *ICT Express* DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ict.2022.06.006>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405959522000959>
- Qin J, Liu Y, Grosvenor R (2016) A categorical framework of manufacturing for industry 4.0 and beyond. *Procedia CIRP* 52:173–178, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.08.005>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221282711630854X>, the Sixth International Conference on Changeable, Agile, Reconfigurable and Virtual Production (CARV2016)
- Qiu T, Chen N, Zhang S (2022) *Future Research Directions*, Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore, pp 201–214. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9609-1\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9609-1_9)
- Ravina Ripoll R, Romero-Rodríguez LM, Ahumada-Tello E (2022) Guest editorial: Happiness management: key factors for sustainability and organizational communication in the age of industry 4.0. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 22(3):449–457, URL <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-05-2022-576>
- Ray PP, Thapa N, Dash D (2019) Implementation and performance analysis of interoperable and heterogeneous IoT-edge gateway for pervasive wellness care. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics* 65(4):464–473, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCE.2019.2939494>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8823957>
- Robert J, Kubler S, Kolbe N, Cerioni A, Gastaud E, Främling K (2017) Open IoT ecosystem for enhanced interoperability in smart cities—example of Métropole De Lyon. *Sensors* 17(12), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/s17122849>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/17/12/2849>

- Rong K, Patton D, Chen W (2018) Business models dynamics and business ecosystems in the emerging 3D printing industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 134:234–245, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.06.015>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S004016251713132X>
- Rufino J, Alam M, Ferreira J, Rehman A, Tsang KF (2017) Orchestration of containerized microservices for iiot using docker. In: *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*, pp 1532–1536, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIT.2017.7915594>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7915594>
- Rupp M, Schneckenburger M, Merkel M, Börret R, Harrison DK (2021) Industry 4.0: A technological-oriented definition based on bibliometric analysis and literature review. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 7(1), DOI <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010068>, URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2199-8531/7/1/68>
- Sah DK, Amgoth T (2020) Renewable energy harvesting schemes in wireless sensor networks: A survey. *Information Fusion* 63:223–247, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2020.07.005>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S156625352030316X>
- Sanislav T, Mois GD, Zeadally S, Folea SC (2021) Energy harvesting techniques for internet of things (iot). *IEEE Access* 9:39530–39549, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3064066>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9370135>
- Sari A, Lekidis A, Butun I (2020) *Industrial Networks and IIoT: Now and Future Trends*, Springer International Publishing, pp 3–55. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42500-5\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42500-5_1)
- Sarkar I, Adhikari M, Kumar N, Kumar S (2022) Dynamic task placement for deadline-aware IIoT applications in federated fog networks. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 9(2):1469–1478, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJOT.2021.3088227>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9451209>
- Schwab K (2016) *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. World Economic Forum, Geneva, URL <https://www.weforum.org/about/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-by-klaus-schwab>
- Schwab K (2017) *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Crown Publishing Group, USA
- Sedlmeir J, Buhl HU, Fridgen G, Keller R (2020) The energy consumption of blockchain technology: Beyond myth. *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 62(6):599–608, URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-020-00656-x>
- Sharma C, Gondhi NK (2018) Communication protocol stack for constrained IIoT systems. In: *3rd International Conference On Internet of Things: Smart Innovation and Usages (IoT-SIU)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IoT-SIU.2018.8519904>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8519904>
- Silva M, Lepikson H (2022) Resilience evaluation of cyber risks in industrial internet of things. In: *IEEE International IOT, Electronics and Mechatronics Conference (IEMTRONICS)*, pp 1–6, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMTRONICS55184.2022.9795792>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9795792>
- Spachos P, Plataniotis K (2020) BLE beacons in the smart city: Applications, challenges, and research opportunities. *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine* 3(1):14–18, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/IOTM.0001.1900073>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9063401>
- Tournier J, Lesueur F, Mouél FL, Guyon L, Ben-Hassine H (2020) IoTMap: A protocol-agnostic multi-layer system to detect application patterns in IIoT networks. In: *10th International Conference on the Internet of Things, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, IIoT '20*, URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3410992.3411007>
- Tournier J, Lesueur F, Le Mouél F, Guyon L, Ben-Hassine H (2021) A survey of IIoT protocols and their security issues through the lens of a generic IIoT stack. *Internet of Things Journal* p 100264, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2020.100264>, URL <http://www.le-mouel.net/Research/Publications/Journals/2020/IEEEIOT2020.pdf>
- Vaiyapuri T, Sbai Z, Alaskar H, Alaseem NA (2021) Deep learning approaches for intrusion detection in IIoT networks—opportunities and future directions. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications* 12(4), URL <https://thesai.org/Publications/ViewPaper?Volume=12&Issue=4&Code=IJACSA&SerialNo=11>

- Valtolina S, Ferrari L, Mesiti M (2019) Ontology-based consistent specification of sensor data acquisition plans in cross-domain IoT platforms. *IEEE Access* 7:176141–176169, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2957855>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8924726>
- Vasudev H, Deshpande V, Das D, Das SK (2020) A lightweight mutual authentication protocol for V2V communication in internet of vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 69(6):6709–6717, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVT.2020.2986585>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9064651>
- Verbraeken J, Wolting M, Katzy J, Kloppenburg J, Verbelen T, Rellermeyer JS (2020) A survey on distributed machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys* 53(2), URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377454>
- Viriyasitavat W, Xu LD, Bi Z, Hoonsoop D (2019) Blockchain technology for applications in internet of things—mapping from system design perspective. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6(5):8155–8168, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2019.2925825>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8752029>
- Vitturi S, Zunino C, Sauter T (2019) Industrial communication systems and their future challenges: Next-generation ethernet, IIoT, and 5G. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 107(6):944–961, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2019.2913443>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8715451>
- Wang Le, Bai Y, Jiang Q, C M Leung V, Cai W, Li X (2021) Beh-Raft-Chain: A behavior-based fast blockchain protocol for complex networks. *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering* 8(2):1154–1166, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSE.2020.2984490>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9055192>
- Wang W, Capitaneanu SL, Marinca D, Lohan ES (2019) Comparative analysis of channel models for industrial IoT wireless communication. *IEEE Access* 7:91627–91640, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2927217>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8756193>
- Wang Y (2020) Enhancing interoperability for IoT based smart manufacturing : An analytical study of interoperability issues and case study. Master's thesis, KTH, Communication Systems, CoS, URL <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1411144>
- Weyrich M, Ebert C (2016) Reference architectures for the internet of things. *IEEE Software* 33(1):112–116, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/MS.2016.20>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7367994>
- de Wolff D (2021) The future of the IoT (batteries not required). URL <https://news.mit.edu/2021/future-iot-batteries-not-required-0521>
- Wu Z, Meng Z, Gray J (2017) IoT-based techniques for online M2M-interactive itemized data registration and offline information traceability in a digital manufacturing system. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 13(5):2397–2405, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2017.2704613>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7931573>
- Xiao G, Guo J, Xu LD, Gong Z (2014) User interoperability with heterogeneous IoT devices through transformation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 10(2):1486–1496, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2014.2306772>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6742587>
- Xiong J, Hsiang EL, He Z, Zhan T, Wu ST (2021) Augmented reality and virtual reality displays: emerging technologies and future perspectives. *Light: Science & Applications* 10(1):216, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00658-8>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-021-00658-8>
- Yang S, Wei R (2019) Tabdoc approach: An information fusion method to implement semantic interoperability between IoT devices and users. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6(2):1972–1986, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2018.2871274>, URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8468004>
- Zezulka F, Marcon P, Bradac Z, Arm J, Benesl T, Vesely I (2018) Communication systems for industry 4.0 and the IIoT. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 51(6):150–155, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.07.145>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896318308899>, 15th IFAC Conference on Programmable Devices and Embedded Systems PDeS 2018
- Zhang Z, Wu C, Cheung DW (2013) A survey on cloud interoperability: Taxonomies, standards, and practice. *SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 40(4):13–22, URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/2479942.2479945>