

Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne
Exam M2 MMMEF, 2022-2023

Exam: Calibration in quantitative finance

14th March 2023

- Documents and cell phone are prohibited.
- The duration of the exam is **2h00**.
- It is forbidden to leave without returning the copy of your exam sheet.
- The exam is composed of **2 exercices** which can be treated independently. In an exercise, you can use the results from the previous questions.

Exercise 1 (Questions on the lectures (7 pts))

- (2 pts) Prove the two following non-arbitrage bounds on the price $C(t, T, S, K)$ of a Call option with maturity T and strike K evaluated at time t when the stock price is S :

$$(S - Ke^{-r(T-t)})_+ \leq C(t, T, S, K) \leq S.$$

- (3 pts) Suppose that we observe on the market several Call prices $C(T, K)$ for different strikes K and maturities T .
 - What is the definition of the implied volatility $\tilde{I}(T, K)$ for the characteristics (T, K) ?
 - Under which assumption on $C(T, K)$ is it well defined?
 - Can you provide a simple numerical method to compute it?
- (1 pt) What is definition of the skew phenomenon?
- (1 pt) What is definition of the smile phenomenon?

Exercise 2 (15 pts)

Part 1: Static hedge of European options (8.5 pts)

We consider a stock which price at time t is given S_t taking values in \mathbb{R}_+ . We assume that the process $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ admits a positive transition density given by $p(t, x, T, y)$, namely, one has

$$\mathbb{E}[h(S_T)|S_t = x] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} h(y) p(t, x, T, y) dy, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

for any measurable map $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with at most linear growth. We denote by $C(t, T, S, K)$ and $P(t, T, S, K)$ the prices of a Call and Put option evaluated at time t when the stock price is given by S with maturity T and strike K . We will denote by r the interest rate which is assumed to be constant. We also introduce the *forward price of the stock* at time t defined by

$$F_t = S_t \exp(r(T - t)). \quad (0.1)$$

- (1.5 pts) Recall the Call-Put parity relation linking $C(t, T, S_t, K)$ and $P(t, T, S_t, K)$. Its proof is not required. Deduce that

$$\partial_K P(t, T, S, K) - \partial_K C(t, T, S, K) = -\exp(-r(T - t)).$$

- (1 pt) For which specific value of K do we have that $C(t, T, S_t, K) - P(t, T, S_t, K) = 0$?
- (2 pts) Prove the two following identities

$$p(t, S, T, K) = \exp(r(T - t)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} C(t, T, S, K) = \exp(r(T - t)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} P(t, T, S, K).$$

- (1 pt) Prove the following relation: for any $F \geq 0$

$$e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[h(S_T)|S_t = s] = \int_0^F h(K) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} P(t, T, S, K) dK + \int_F^\infty h(K) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} C(t, T, S, K) dK.$$

5. (2 pts) Deduce from the results of questions 1, 2 and 4 that

$$e^{-r(T-t)}\mathbb{E}[h(S_T)|S_t] = e^{-r(T-t)}h(F_t) + \int_0^{F_t} h''(K)P(t, T, S_t, K) dK + \int_{F_t}^{\infty} h''(K)C(t, T, S_t, K) dK, \quad (0.2)$$

recalling that F_t is given by (0.1).

6. (1 pt) What is the financial interpretation of the previous identity?

Part 2: Example: amortizing options (4.5 pts)

A common variation on the payoff of the standard European Call option which is particularly attractive in high volatility periods of the stock price is given by the *amortizing Call option* with strike L with payoff

$$h(S_T) = \frac{(S_T - L)_+}{S_T}. \quad (0.3)$$

The aim of this section is to establish the corresponding identity (0.2) for this particular class of options. Since the function $x \mapsto x_+$ is not twice continuously differentiable in the classical sense, it is clear that (0.3) is not $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\})$ so that we cannot apply directly (0.2). We thus proceed by an approximation argument. We let

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{(x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})^2}{2\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}_{x \in [-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]} + x \mathbf{1}_{x > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

1. (1.5 pts) Prove that $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_+$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and compute f'_ε and f''_ε for any $\varepsilon > 0$.
2. Using the previous approximation of the positive part, we let

$$h_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{f_\varepsilon(s - L)}{s}.$$

In order to simplify, we assume that $L > F_t$.

(a) (2 pts) Prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^{F_t} h''_\varepsilon(K)P(t, T, S_t, K) dK = 0$$

(b) (2 pts) By applying (0.2) to h_ε instead of h and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, prove that

$$e^{-r(T-t)}\mathbb{E}[h(S_T)|S_t] = e^{-r(T-t)}\frac{C(t, T, S_t, L)}{L} - 2L \int_L^\infty \frac{C(t, T, S_t, K)}{K^3} dK.$$

3. (1 pt) What is the financial interpretation of the previous identity?